arXiv:1410.7891v6 [math.SG] 1 Jan 2016

An enlargement of some symplectic objects

Dedicated to Professor A. Banyaga

Stéphane Tchuiaga*

January 5, 2016

Abstract

The study of algebraic properties of groups of transformations of a manifold gives rise to an interplay between different areas of mathematics such as topology, geometry, and dynamical systems. Especially, in this paper, we point out some interplays between topology, geometry, and dynamical systems which are underlying to the group of symplectic homeomorphisms. The latter situation can occur when one thinks of the following question : Is there a C^0- flux geometry which is underlying to the group of strong symplectic homeomorphisms so that Fathi's Poincaré duality theorem continues to hold? In this paper, we discuss on some possible answers of the above preoccupation, and we elaborate various topological analogues of some well-known results found in the field of symplectic dynamics.

AMS Subject Classification: 53D05, 53D35, 57R52, 53C21.
Key Words and Phrases: Symplectic displacement energy, Hofer-like norm, Hodge decomposition theorem, Symplectic isotopies, Rigidity, Homeomorphisms, Diffeomorphisms, Symplectic homeomorphisms, Homotopy, Topological symplectic isotopies, de Rham Cohomology, Poincaré duality theorem, Fathi's mass flow.

1 Introduction

According to Oh-Müller [31], the automorphism group of the C^0-symplectic topology is the closure of the group $Symp(M,\omega)$ of all symplectomorphisms of a symplectic manifold (M,ω) in the group $Homeo(M)$ of all homeomorphisms of M equipped with the C^0-topology. That group, denoted $Sympeo(M,\omega)$ has been called group of all symplectic homeomorphisms :

$$Sympeo(M,\omega) = \overline{Symp(M,\omega)} \subset Homeo(M).$$

This definition has been motivated by the following celebrated rigidity theorem dues to Eliashberg [16] and Gromov [18].

*tchuiagas@gmail.com

Theorem 1.1. *([16, 18]) The group $Symp(M,\omega)$ of all symplectomorphisms of a symplectic manifold (M,ω) is C^0 closed inside the group of diffeomorphisms over M.*

Oh-Müller showed that any symplectic homeomorphism preserves the Liouville measure [31]. Furthermore, a result dues to Oh-Müller states that the group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms is contained in the kernel of Fathi's mass flow. This group is a proper subgroup in the identity component of $Sympeo(M,\omega)$. Buhovsky [10] observed that Eliashberg-Gromov C^0-rigidity follows from Oh-Müller theorem on the uniqueness of topological Hamiltonians of topological Hamiltonian systems [31]. All the above facts demonstrate once more an interest of the study of symplectic homeomorphisms.

Recently, motivated by the result in Theorem 1.1, Banyaga [4, 6] defined two classes of symplectic homeomorphisms called the **strong symplectic homeomorphisms in the $L^\infty-$context** and the **strong symplectic homeomorphisms in the $L^{(1,\infty)}-$context**. The two contexts of **strong symplectic homeomorphisms** arise from two different topologies, but it is proved in Banyaga-Tchuiaga [8] that the nature of any **strong symplectic homeomorphism** does not depend on the choice of the L^∞ symplectic topology or the $L^{(1,\infty)}$ symplectic topology. To understand the statement of the mains result of this paper, we will need the following definition from [9, 34].

Definition 1.2. ([9, 34]) A continuous family (γ_t) of homeomorphisms of M with $\gamma_0 = id$ is called a strong symplectic isotopy (or ssympeotopy) if there exists a sequence Φ_i of symplectic isotopies which converges uniformly to (γ_t) such that the sequence of symplectic vector fields generated by Φ_i is Cauchy in the $L^\infty-$ Hofer-like norm defined in [4].

If the manifold is simple connected, then any ssympeotopy is called a continuous Hamiltonian flow (or Hameotopy) in the sense of Oh-Müller.

A result from Banyaga-Tchuiaga [9] shows that in the above definition, if we set $\Phi_i = (\phi_i^t)$ and consider $Z_t^i(x) = \dfrac{d\phi_i^t}{dt} \circ (\phi_i^t)^{-1}(x)$, for each i and for all $x \in M$, then the limit $\mathcal{M}(\gamma)$ of the sequence of symplectic vector fields (Z_t^i) w.r.t the $L^\infty-$ Hofer-like norm is independent of the choice of the sequence of symplectic isotopies Φ_i in Definition 1.2. The authors called the latter limit the "generator" of the ssympeotopy (γ_t). It follows from Banyaga-Tchuiaga [9] that any generator of strong symplectic isotopy is of the form $(U,\mathcal{H})$ where the maps $(t,x) \mapsto U_t(x)$ and $t \mapsto \mathcal{H}_t$ are continuous, and for each t, $\mathcal{H}_t$ is a smooth harmonic $1-$form for some Riemannian metric g on M. Here is the main result from [9].

Theorem 1.3. *([9]) Any strong symplectic isotopy determines a unique generator.*

This theorem generalizes the uniqueness theorem of generating functions for continuous Hamiltonian flows from Viterbo [37, 36], and Buhovsky-Seyfaddini [11]. Using the above uniqueness result of generators of ssympeotopies, Banyaga

pointed out that any smooth hameomorphisms is a Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, a theorem on Hamiltonian rigidity.
However, in the presence of a positive symplectic displacement energy from Banyaga-Hurtubise-Spaeth [7], we point out the following converse of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.4. *Any generator of strong symplectic isotopy corresponds to a unique strong symplectic isotopy.*

The above result generalizes a result found by Oh (Theorem 3.1, [30]) in the study of topological Hamiltonian dynamics. Following the proof of Theorem 1.2 found in [35], it seems that another proof of Theorem 1.4 can be pointed out without appealing to the positivity of the symplectic displacement energy. But, this other way to prove Theorem 1.4 is a little longer than the proof involving the symplectic displacement energy. To show an interest of the uniqueness results of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 in the study of topological symplectic dynamics, let's go back to the smooth symplectic geometry.

Using Hodge's decomposition theorem of differential forms, one can establish that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the space of all symplectic isotopies and the space of all the pairs $(V, \mathcal{K})$ where V is a smooth family of normalized function and $\mathcal{K}$ is a smooth family of smooth harmonic $1-$form for some Riemannian metric g on M (any differentiable manifold M can be equipped with a Riemannian metric). The latter pairs was called the smooth generators of symplectic paths (see [8]). A result from [8] states that there is a group isomorphism between the space of symplectic paths and that of all their generators. This suggests that the flux of any symplectic isotopy Φ generated by $(V, \mathcal{K})$ is exactly the de Rham cohomology class $\int_0^1 [\mathcal{K}_t]dt$ where [.] stands for the de Rham cohomology class. Visibly, this way to evaluate the flux of any symplectic isotopy does not involves the derivative of the corresponding isotopy. This seems to suggest that in the presence of the uniqueness results of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4, we can assign to any ssympeotopy (γ_t) generated by $(U, \mathcal{H})$ a well defined de Rham cohomology class $\int_0^1 [\mathcal{H}_t]dt$ since the map $t \mapsto \mathcal{H}_t$ is continuous, and for each t, $\mathcal{H}_t$ is a smooth harmonic $1-$form. This will be clearly exposed in greater detail later on.
More generally, it is known that on any closed symplectic manifold, various constructions involving symplectic paths (e.g, Hofer metrics, Hofer-like metrics, symplectic flux etc..) are based on the one-to-one correspondence that exists between the space of such paths and that of smooth families of smooth symplectic vector fields. Actually, the uniqueness results of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 tell us to investigate the following questions:

- Is there a C^0-flux geometry which is underlying to the group of **strong symplectic homeomorphisms**?
- If the answer of the above question is affirmative, then can Fathi's Poincaré duality theorem continue to hold?
- Is Banyaga's Hofer-like geometry admits a topological analogue in the world of **strong symplectic homeomorphisms**?
- Since the mass flow of any **strong symplectic isotopy** exists, can we give an explicit formula of the latter mass flow?

The goal of this paper is to investigate the above questions.

We organize the present paper as follows. In Section 2, we recall some fundamental facts concerning symplectic mappings and isotopies. Section 2.1, deals with a description of symplectic isotopies that was introduced in [8]. In Sections 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4 we recall the definitions of Banyaga's Hofer-like topologies and symplectic displacement energy. Section 3 deals with Hodge-de Rham's theory, flux for symplectic maps, and Fathi's mass flow. Here, we recall the constructions of flux for symplectic maps and Fathi's mass flow; including de Rham's theorem, the Poincaré duality theorem, and Fathi's Poincaré duality theorem. In Section 4, we will recall the definitions of topological symplectic isotopies. Moreover, we show an impact of Fathi's Poincaré duality theorem in the study of some homotopic property (relatively to fixed extremities) of certain class of strong symplectic isotopies. As a consequence of the latter study, we derive that any ssympeotopies whose Fathi's mass flow is trivial admits its extremities in the group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms. Once more, using a result that was proved by Weinstein [39], we prove a result which implies in turn that the flux group Γ stays invariant under a perturbation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(Symp_0(M,\omega))$ withe respect to a suitable C^0-symplectic topology. We shall also prove that any strong symplectic isotopy which is a $1-$parameter group decomposes as composition of a smooth harmonic flow and a continuous Hamiltonian flow in the sense of Oh-Müller. Section 5, we will introduces an enlargement of the symplectic flux homomorphism. Here, we give an explicit formula for computing the Fathi mass flow of any strong symplectic isotopy, and construct a surjective group homomorphism from the group of strong symplectic isotopies onto the quotient of the first de Rham cohomology group by the flux group Γ. We prove that its kernel coincides with the group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms. This map is the C^0-analogue of the well known flux homomorphism. As a consequence of the latter fact, we see that the group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms is path connected and locally connected, while the group of strong symplectic homeomorphism is locally path connected. In Section 6, we construct a C^0-Hofer-like norm for strong symplectic homeomorphisms, and prove that the restriction of the latter norm to the group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms is equivalent to the norm constructed by Oh on the space of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms. Section 7 deals with an enlargement of the symplectic displacement energy. Here, we use the result of Section 6 to define a positive symplectic displacement energy for strong symplectic homeomorphisms. Finally, Section 8 gives some examples and introduce some conjectures.

2 Preliminaries

Let M be a smooth closed manifold of dimension $2n$. A differential $2-$form ω on M is called a symplectic form if ω is closed and nondegenerate. In particular, any symplectic manifold is oriented. From now on, we shall always assume that M admits a symplectic form ω. A diffeomorphism $\phi : M \to M$ is called symplectic if it preserves the symplectic form ω, i.e. $\phi^*(\omega) = \omega$. We denote by $Symp(M,\omega)$ the symplectomorphisms' group.

2.0.1 Symplectic vector fields

The symplectic structure ω on M, being nondegenerate, induces an isomorphism between vector fields Z and $1-$forms on M given by $Z \mapsto \omega(Z,.) =: \iota(Z)\omega$. A vector field Z on M is symplectic if $\iota(Z)\omega$ is closed. In particular, a symplectic vector field Z on M is said to be a Hamiltonian vector field if $\iota(Z)\omega$ is exact. It follows from the definition of symplectic vector fields that, if the first de Rham cohomology group of the manifold M is trivial (i.e. $H^1(M,\mathbb{R}) = 0$), then all the symplectic vector fields induced by a symplectic form ω on M are Hamiltonian. If we equip M with a Riemannian metric g (any differentiable manifold M can be equipped with a Riemannian metric), then any harmonic $1-$form α on M determines a symplectic vector field Z such that $\iota(Z)\omega = \alpha$ (so-called harmonic vector field, see [4]).

2.0.2 Symplectic isotopies

An isotopy $\{\phi_t\}$ of the symplectic manifold (M,ω) is said to be symplectic if for each t, the vector field $Z_t = \dfrac{d\phi_t}{dt} \circ \phi_t^{-1}$ is symplectic. In particular a symplectic isotopy $\{\psi_t\}$ is called Hamiltonian if for each t, $Z_t = \dfrac{d\psi_t}{dt} \circ \psi_t^{-1}$ is Hamiltonian, i.e. there exists a smooth function $F : [0,1] \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ called Hamiltonian such that $\iota(Z_t)\omega = dF_t$. As we can see, any Hamiltonian isotopy determines a Hamiltonian $F : [0,1] \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ up to an additive constant . Throughout the paper we assume that all Hamiltonians are normalized in the following way: given a Hamiltonian $F : [0,1] \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ we require that $\int_M F_t \omega^n = 0$. We denote by $\mathcal{N}([0,1] \times M\ ,\mathbb{R})$ the space of all smooth normalized Hamiltonians and by $Ham(M,\omega)$ the set of all time-one maps of Hamiltonian isotopies. If we equip M with a Riemannian metric g, then a symplectic isotopy $\{\theta_t\}$ is said to be harmonic if for each t, $Z_t = \dfrac{d\theta_t}{dt} \circ \theta_t^{-1}$ is harmonic. We denote by $Iso(M,\omega)$ the group of all symplectic isotopies of (M,ω) and by $Symp_0(M,\omega)$ the set of all time-one maps of symplectic isotopies.

2.0.3 Harmonics $1-$forms

From now on, we assume that M is equipped with a Riemannian metric g, and denote by $\mathcal{H}^1(M,g)$ the space of harmonic $1-$forms on M with respect to the Riemannian metric g. In view of the Hodge theory, $\mathcal{H}^1(M,g)$ is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ which is isomorphic to $H^1(M,\mathbb{R})$ (see [38]). The dimension of $\mathcal{H}^1(M,g)$ is the first Betti number of the manifold M, denoted by b_1. Taking $(h^i)_{1\leq i\leq b_1}$ as a basis of the vector space $\mathcal{H}^1(M,g)$, we equip $\mathcal{H}^1(M,g)$ with the Euclidean norm $|.|$ defined as follows : for all H in $\mathcal{H}^1(M,g)$ with $H = \Sigma_{i=1}^{b_1}\lambda^i h^i$ we have $|H| := \Sigma_{i=1}^{b_1}|\lambda^i|$. It is convenient to compare the above Euclidean norm with the well-known uniform sup norm of differential $1-$forms. For this purpose, let's recall the definition of the uniform sup norm of a differential $1-$form α on M. For all $x \in M$, we know that α induces a linear map $\alpha_x : T_xM \to \mathbb{R}$ whose norm is given by $\|\alpha_x\| = \sup\{|\alpha_x(X)| : X \in T_xM, \|X\|_g = 1\}$, where $\|.\|_g$ is the norm induced on each tangent space T_xM

by the Riemannian metric g. Therefore, the uniform sup norm of α, say $|.|_0$ is defined by $|\alpha|_0 = \sup_{x\in M} \|\alpha_x\|$. In particular, when α is a harmonic $1-$form (i.e $\alpha = \Sigma_{i=1}^{b_1} \lambda^i h^i$), we obtain the following estimates : $|\alpha|_0 \leq \Sigma_{i=1}^{b_1} |\lambda^i||h^i|_0 \leq E|\alpha|$ where $E := \max_{1\leq i\leq b_1} |h^i|_0$. If the basis $(h^i)_{1\leq i\leq b_1}$ is such that $E>1$, then one can always normalize such a basis so that E equals 1. Otherwise, the identity $|\alpha|_0 \leq E|\alpha|$ reduces to $|\alpha|_0 \leq |\alpha|$. We denote by $\mathcal{PH}^1(M,g)$, the space of smooth mappings $\mathcal{H} : [0,1] \to \mathcal{H}^1(M,g)$.

2.1 A description of symplectic isotopies [8]

In this subsection, from the group of symplectic isotopies, we shall deduce another group which will be convenient later on (see [8]). Consider $\{\phi_t\}$ to be a symplectic isotopy, for each t, the vector field $Z_t = \dfrac{d\phi_t}{dt} \circ (\phi_t)^{-1}$ satisfies $d\iota(Z_t)\omega = 0$. So, it follows from Hodge's theory that $\iota(Z_t)\omega$ decomposes as the sum of an exact $1-$form dU_t^Φ and a harmonic $1-$form $\mathcal{H}_t^\Phi$ (see [38]). Denote by U the Hamiltonian $U^\Phi = (U_t^\Phi)$ normalized, and by $\mathcal{H}$ the smooth family of harmonic $1-$forms $\mathcal{H}^\Phi = (\mathcal{H}_t^\Phi)$. In [8], the authors denoted by $\mathfrak{T}(M,\omega,g)$ the Cartesian product $\mathcal{N}([0,1]\times M,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{PH}^1(M,g)$, and equipped it with a group structure which makes the bijection

$$Iso(M,\omega) \to \mathfrak{T}(M,\omega,g), \Phi \mapsto (U,\mathcal{H}) \tag{2.1}$$

a group isomorphism. Denoting the map just constructed by $\mathfrak{A}$, the authors denoted any symplectic isotopy $\{\phi_t\}$ as $\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ to mean that the mapping $\mathfrak{A}$ maps $\{\phi_t\}$ onto $(U,\mathcal{H})$, and $(U,\mathcal{H})$ is called the "generator" of the symplectic path $\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$. In particular, any symplectic isotopy of the form $\phi_{(0,\mathcal{H})}$ is considered to be a harmonic isotopy, while any symplectic isotopy of the form $\phi_{(U,0)}$ is considered to be a Hamiltonian isotopy. The product in $\mathfrak{T}(M,\omega,g)$ is given by,

$$(U,\mathcal{H}) \bowtie (V,\mathcal{K}) = (U + V\circ\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}^{-1} + \widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{K},\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}^{-1}), \mathcal{H}+\mathcal{K}) \tag{2.2}$$

The inverse of $(U,\mathcal{H})$, denoted $\overline{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ is given by

$$\overline{(U,\mathcal{H})} = (-U\circ\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})} - \widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{H},\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}), -\mathcal{H}) \tag{2.3}$$

where for each t, $\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}^{-t} := (\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}^t)^{-1}$, and $\widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{K},\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}^{-1})$ is the function

$$\Delta_t(\mathcal{K},\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}^{-1}) := \int_0^t \mathcal{K}_t(\dot{\phi}_{(U,\mathcal{H})}^{-s})\circ\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}^{-s} ds,$$

normalized.

2.2 Banyaga's Topologies [8], [34]

In this subsection, we reformulate the topology introduced by Banyaga [4] on the space of symplectic vector fields. Let X be a symplectic vector field. The $1-$form $i_X\omega$ can be decomposed in a unique way as the sum of a harmonic $1-$form $\mathcal{H}_X$ with an exact $1-$form dU_X. The function U_X is given by $U_X = \delta G(i_X\omega)$, where δ is the codifferential operator and G is the Green operator [38]. In regard of the above decomposition of symplectic vector fields, we will denote any symplectic

vector field X by $X^{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ to mean that Hodge's decomposition of $\iota(X)\omega$ gives $dU + \mathcal{H}$ with U normalized. According to Banyaga, the above decomposition of symplectic vectors gives rise to an intrinsic norm on the space of symplectic vector fields, defined by

$$\|X^{(U,\mathcal{H})}\| = |\mathcal{H}| + osc(U),$$

where $osc(U) = \max_{x\in M} U(x) - \min_{x\in M} U(x)$, and $|.|$ represents the Euclidean norm on the space of harmonic $1-$forms that we introduced in the beginning. The norm $\|.\|$ gives rise to a norm defined on the space of $1-$parameter symplectic vectors fields as follows. Let $(Y_t)_t$ be a smooth family of symplectic vector fields, we have :

$$\|(Y_t)\|^{\infty} = \max_t \|Y_t\|.$$

The above norm is called the $L^{\infty}-$ Banyaga's norm of the family of symplectic vector fields $(Y_t)_t$. For instance, the above norm induces a distance on the space $\mathfrak{T}(M,\omega,g)$ as follows : For all $(U,\mathcal{H}),(V,\mathcal{K}) \in \mathfrak{T}(M,\omega,g)$,

$$D^2((U,\mathcal{H}),(V,\mathcal{K})) = (\|(X^{(U_t,\mathcal{H}_t)} - X^{(V_t,\mathcal{K}_t)})_t\|^{\infty} + \|(X^{\overline{(U_t,\mathcal{H}_t)}} - X^{\overline{(V_t,\mathcal{K}_t)}})_t\|^{\infty})/2, \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore, the $L^{\infty}-$topology on the space $\mathfrak{T}(M,\omega,g)$ is the one induced by the metric D^2.

2.3 Banyaga's Hofer-like norms [4]

According to [4], the so-called $L^{(1,\infty)}$ version and L^{∞} version of Banyaga's Hofer-like lengths of any $\Phi = \phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})} \in Iso(M,\omega)$ are defined respectively by,

$$l^{(1,\infty)}(\Phi) = \int_0^1 osc(U_t) + |\mathcal{H}_t| dt, \tag{2.5}$$

$$l^{\infty}(\Phi) = \max_{t\in[0,1]} (osc(U_t) + |\mathcal{H}_t|). \tag{2.6}$$

Clearly $l^{(1,\infty)}(\Phi) \neq l^{(1,\infty)}(\Phi^{-1})$ unless Φ is Hamiltonian. Indeed, $\Phi = \phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ implies that $\Phi^{-1} = \phi_{\overline{(U,\mathcal{H})}}$ where $\overline{(U,\mathcal{H})} = (-U\circ\Phi - \widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{H},\Phi), -\mathcal{H})$. Hence, we see that the mean oscillation of the function U can be different from that of the function $-U\circ\Phi - \widetilde{\Delta}(\mathcal{H},\Phi)$. But, if Φ is Hamiltonian, i.e. $\Phi = \phi_{(U,0)}$, then the mean oscillation of U is equal to that of $-U\circ\Phi$, i.e. $l^{(1,\infty)}(\Phi) = l^{(1,\infty)}(\Phi^{-1})$. Similarly, we have $l^{\infty}(\Phi) \neq l^{\infty}(\Phi^{-1})$ unless Φ is Hamiltonian. Now, let $\phi \in Symp_0(M,\omega)$, using the above Banyaga's lengths, Banyaga [4] defined respectively the $L^{(1,\infty)}$ energy and L^{∞} energy of ϕ by,

$$e_0(\phi) = \inf(l^{(1,\infty)}(\Phi)), \tag{2.7}$$

$$e_0^{\infty}(\phi) = \inf(l^{\infty}(\Phi)), \tag{2.8}$$

where the infimum are taken over all symplectic isotopies Φ with time-one map equal to ϕ. Therefore, the $L^{(1,\infty)}$ Banyaga's Hofer-like norm and the L^{∞} Banyaga's Hofer-like norm of ϕ are respectively defined by,

$$\|\phi\|_{HL}^{(1,\infty)} = (e_0(\phi) + e_0(\phi^{-1}))/2, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\|\phi\|_{HL}^{\infty} = (e_0^{\infty}(\phi) + e_0^{\infty}(\phi^{-1}))/2. \tag{2.10}$$

Each of the norms $\|.\|_{HL}^{\infty}$ and $\|.\|_{HL}^{(1,\infty)}$ generalizes the Hofer norms for Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms in the following sense : in the special case of a closed symplectic manifold (M,ω) for which $Ham(M,\omega) = Symp_0(M,\omega)$ (or $H^1(M,\mathbb{R}) = 0$), the norm $\|.\|_{HL}^{\infty}$ reduces to a norm $\|.\|_{H}^{\infty}$ called the L^{∞} Hofer norm, while the norm $\|.\|_{HL}^{(1,\infty)}$ reduces to a norm $\|.\|_{H}^{(1,\infty)}$ called the $L^{(1,\infty)}$ Hofer norm. But, a result that was proved by Polterovich [33] shows that the above Hofer's norms are equal in general, i.e. $\|.\|_{H}^{(1,\infty)} = \|.\|_{H}^{\infty}$. In other words, the norms $\|.\|_{HL}^{\infty}$ and $\|.\|_{HL}^{(1,\infty)}$ are equal when $Ham(M,\omega) = Symp_0(M,\omega)$ (or $H^1(M,\mathbb{R}) = 0$). It was proved in [35] that the following equality holds true $\|.\|_{HL}^{\infty} = \|.\|_{HL}$. This points out the uniqueness of Banyaga's Hofer-like geometry, and then generalizes a result that Polterovich [33] proved (Lemma 5.1.C, [33]).

2.4 Displacement energy (Banyaga-Hurtubise-Spaeth)

Definition 2.1. ([7]) The symplectic displacement energy $e_S(A)$ of a non empty set $A \subset M$ is :

$$e_S(A) = \inf\{\|\phi\|_{HL} | \phi \in Symp(M,\omega)_0, \phi(A) \cap A = \emptyset\}.$$

Theorem 2.2. *([7]) For any non empty open set $A \subset M$, $e_S(A)$ is a strict positive number.*

A straightforward application of the equality $\|,\|_{HL} = \|,\|_{HL}^{\infty}$ implies that the above definition of positive symplectic displacement energy does not depend on the choice of Banyaga's Hofer-like norm. Furthermore, in the Section 5 of the present paper, we will see how one extends the above displacement symplectic energy in the world of strong symplectic homeomorphisms. This will be supported by the uniqueness result from [8] and the uniqueness of Banyaga's Hofer-like geometry [35].

3 Hodge-de Rham's theory

3.1 De Rham's theorem

We denote by $\Omega^k(M)$ the space of smooth differential $k-$forms on M. In local coordinates $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{2n})$, an element $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$ has the following expression

$$\alpha = \Sigma_{I=\{i_1<i_2<,...,<i_k\}} a_I dx_1 \wedge dx_2 ..... \wedge dx_k = \Sigma_I a_I dx_I,$$

where a_I is a smooth function of $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k)$. The exterior differentiation is a differential operator

$$d : \Omega^k(M) \to C^{\infty}(\wedge^{k+1} T^*M).$$

Locally,

$$d(\Sigma_I a_I dx_I) = \Sigma_I da_I \wedge dx_I.$$

This operator satisfies $d \circ d = 0$, hence the range of d is included in the kernel of d.

Definition 3.1. ([38]) The k^{th} de Rham's cohomology group of M is defined by

$$H^k_{dH}(M) = \frac{\{\alpha \in \Omega^k(M), d\alpha = 0\}}{d(\Omega^{k-1}(M))}.$$

These spaces are clearly diffeomorphism invariants of M. Moreover a deep theorem of G. de Rham says that these spaces are isomorphic to the real cohomology group of M. Thus, the are homotopic invariants.

3.2 The flux (Banyaga)

Denote by $Diff^\infty(M)$ the group of diffeomorphisms on M endowed with the C^∞ compact open topology. Let $Diff_0(M)$ be the identity component of $Diff^\infty(M)$ for the C^∞ compact open topology. Let Ω be any closed $p-$form on M. Denote by $Diff^\Omega(M) \subset Diff^\infty(M)$ the space of all diffeomorphisms that preserve the $p-$form Ω, and by $Diff^\Omega_0(M)$ we denote the connected component by smooth arcs of the identity in $Diff^\Omega(M)$. Let $\Phi = (\phi^t)$ be a smooth path in $Diff^\Omega_0(M)$ with $\phi_0 = Id$, and set

$$\dot{\phi}_t(x) = \frac{d\phi_t}{dt}(\phi_t^{-1}(x)) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times M$. It was proved in [2] that

$$\sum(\Phi) = \int_0^1 (\phi_t^*(i_{\dot{\phi}_t}\Omega))dt, \tag{3.2}$$

is a closed $p-1$ form and its cohomology class denoted by $[\sum(\Phi)] \in H^{(p-1)}(M, \mathbb{R})$ depends only on the homotopy class $\{\Phi\}$ of the isotopy Φ relatively with fixed ends in $Diff^\Omega_0(M)$, and the map $\{\Phi\} \mapsto [\sum(\Phi)]$ is a surjective group homomorphism

$$Flux_\Omega : \widetilde{Diff^\Omega_0}(M) \to H^{(p-1)}(M, \mathbb{R}).$$

In case Ω is a symplectic form ω, we get a homomorphism

$$Flux_\omega : \widetilde{Symp_0}(M, \omega) \to H^1(M, \mathbb{R}),$$

where $\widetilde{Symp_0}(M, \omega)$ is the universal covering of the space $Symp_0(M, \omega)$.
Denote by Γ the image by $Flux_\omega$ of $\pi_1(Symp_0(M, \omega))$. The homomorphism $Flux_\omega$ induces a surjective homomorphism $flux_\omega$ from $Symp_0(M, \omega)$ onto $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$. From the above construction, Banyaga [2, 3] proved that the group of all Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of any compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) is a simple group which coincides with the kernel of $flux_\omega$, a very deep result. We will need the following result from [2, 3].

Theorem 3.2. *Let Φ be a symplectic isotopy.*

1. *If $|Flux_\omega(\Phi)|$ is arbitrarily small, then any symplectic isotopy Ψ with the same extremities than Φ has its flux in Γ, i.e. $Flux_\omega(\Psi) \in \Gamma$.*

2. *If $Flux_\omega(\Phi) = 0$, then Φ is homotopic with fixed endpoints to a Hamiltonian isotopy.*

Proof. Let $\Phi = (\phi^t)$ and $\Psi = (\psi^t)$ be two symplectic isotopies with the same endpoints such that $|Flux_\omega(\phi^t)|$ is arbitrarily small. Since $\Psi \circ \Phi^{-1}$ is a loop at the identity, then $Flux_\omega(\Psi \circ \Phi^{-1}) \in \Gamma$. But,

$$d(Flux_\omega(\Psi), \Gamma) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\gamma - Flux_\omega(\Psi)|$$

$$\leq |Flux_\omega(\Psi \circ \Phi^{-1}) - Flux_\omega(\Psi)|$$

$$\leq |Flux_\omega(\Phi)|,$$

where the right hand side is arbitrarily small. Thus, $Flux_\omega(\Psi) \in \Gamma$ since Γ is discrete (see Ono [32]). For (2), we follow the proof of a result from MacDuff-Salamon [25] (Theorem 10.12, [25]). Assume that $\Phi = (\phi_t)$ is generated by $(U, \mathcal{H})$. By assumption we have

$$Flux_\omega(\Phi) = Flux_\omega(\phi^t_{(0,\mathcal{H})}) = [\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}_t dt] = 0.$$

Thus, it follows from Hodge's theory that $\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}_t dt = 0$ since $\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}_t dt$ is harmonic and M is compact. For all t, as in [25], let θ^t_s be the flow generated by the symplectic vector field $Y_t = -(\int_0^t \mathcal{H}_u du)^\sharp$, i.e. $Y_t = \dfrac{d\theta^t_s}{ds} \circ (\theta^t_s)^{-1}$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\theta^t_0 = id_M$. Since $Y_1 = 0 = Y_0$, we derive that $\theta^0_s = id_M = \theta^1_s$ for all s. It is not too hard to see that the isotopy $\varphi_t = \theta^t_1 \circ \phi^t_{(0,\mathcal{H})}$ is homotopic to $\phi^t_{(0,\mathcal{H})}$ relatively with fixed endpoints. In addition, for each $u \in [0,1]$, we have $Flux_\omega((\varphi_t)_{0 \leq t \leq u}) = 0$. This implies that

$$\int_0^u [\iota(\dot{\varphi}_t)\omega] dt = 0,$$

for all $u \in [0,1]$, i.e. $\iota(\dot{\varphi}_t)\omega$ is exact for all $t \in [0,1]$. Thus, the isotopy $(\varphi_t)_t$ is Hamiltonian with $\varphi_1 = \phi^1_{(0,\mathcal{H})}$. Let (α_t) be the Hamiltonian part in Hodge's decomposition of Φ. The mapping

$$H : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to Symp_0(M, \omega),$$

$$(s,t) \mapsto \theta^t_s \circ \phi_t,$$

induces a homotopy between $(\varphi_t \circ \alpha_t)_t$ and Φ. $\square$

3.3 The mass flow (Fathi, [17])

Let μ be a "good measure" on the manifold M. Let $Homeo_0(M, \mu)$ denotes the identity component in the group of measure preserving homeomorphisms $\widetilde{Homeo(M, \mu)}$, and $\widetilde{Homeo_0}(M, \mu)$ its universal covering. For $[h] = [(h_t)] \in \widetilde{Homeo_0}(M, \mu)$, and a continuous map $f : M \to \mathbb{S}^1$, we lift the homotopy $fh_t - f : M \to \mathbb{S}^1$ to a map $\overline{fh_t - f}$ from M onto $\mathbb{R}$. Fathi proved that the integral $\int_M \overline{fh_t - f} d\mu$ depends only on the homotopy class $[h]$ of (h_t) and the homotopy class $\{f\}$ of f in $[M, \mathbb{S}^1] \approx H^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$, and that the map

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}((h_t))(f) := \int_M \overline{fh_t - f} d\mu.$$

defines a homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}} : \widetilde{Homeo_0(M,\mu)} \to Hom(H^1(M,\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{R}) \approx H_1(M,\mathbb{R}).$$

This map induces a surjective group morphism $\mathcal{F}$ from $Homeo_0(M,\mu)$ onto a quotient of $H_1(M,\mathbb{R})$ by a discrete subgroup. This map is called the Fathi mass flow.

3.3.1 Poincaré duality

Let k be a fixed integer such that $0 \leq k \leq 2n$. Consider the following bilinear map,

$$\langle , \rangle_P^k : H^k(M,\mathbb{R}) \times H^{2n-k}(M,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R},$$

$$([\alpha],[\beta]) \mapsto \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta,$$

is well defined, i.e. $\langle [\alpha],[\beta]\rangle_P^k$ does not depend on the choice of representatives in the cohomology class $[\alpha]$ or $[\beta]$ (this is an easy application of the Stokes formula). Moreover this bilinear form provides an isomorphism between $H^k(M,\mathbb{R})$ and the dual space of $H^{2n-k}(M,\mathbb{R})$. In particular, when $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$ is closed and satisfies $\langle [\alpha],[\beta]\rangle_P^k = 0$ for all $[\beta] \in H^{2n-k}(M,\mathbb{R})$ then there exists $\theta \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)$ such that $\alpha = d\theta$.

3.3.2 Fathi's duality theorem

It is showed in [17] that the flux for volume-preserving diffeomorphisms is the Poincaré dual of Fathi's mass flow. Furthermore, following Fathi's [17], the latter duality result can be stated as follows. Let σ denotes the canonical volume form on $\mathbb{S}^1$ given by the orientation of the circle. Then, for any function $f : M \to \mathbb{S}^1$, we get

$$\langle Flux_\omega(\Phi), [\frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \wedge f^*\sigma]\rangle_P^1 = \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\Phi)(f)$$

3.4 The C^0-metric

Let $Homeo(M)$ be the homeomorphisms' group of M equipped with the C^0-compact-open topology. This is the metric topology induced by the distance $d_0(f,h) = \max(d_{C^0}(f,h), d_{C^0}(f^{-1},h^{-1}))$ where $d_{C^0}(f,h) = \sup_{x\in M} d(h(x),f(x))$ and d is a distance on M induced by the Riemannian metric g. On the space of all continuous paths $\varrho : [0,1] \to Homeo(M)$ such that $\varrho(0) = id$, we consider the C^0-topology as the metric topology induced by the metric $\bar{d}(\lambda,\mu) = \max_{t\in[0,1]} d_0(\lambda(t),\mu(t))$.

4 Topological symplectic isotopies [7], [31], [34]

The introduction of topological symplectic isotopies can be motivated by the following result found in [35].

Theorem 4.1. *([35]) Let (M,ω) be a closed symplectic manifold. Let $\Phi_i = \{\phi_i^t\}$ be a sequence of symplectic isotopies, $\Psi = \{\psi^t\} \in Iso(M,\omega)$, and $\phi : M \to M$ be a map such that*

- (ϕ_i^1) *converges uniformly to* ϕ*, and*
- $l^\infty(\Phi_i^{-1} \circ \Psi) \to 0, i \to \infty$.

Then we must have $\phi = \psi^1$.

The $L^{(1,\infty)}$ version of Theorem 4.1 was recently pointed out using the positivity of the symplectic displacement energy (see [7]). The proof of Theorem 4.1 given in [35] shows that the need of the positivity result of the symplectic displacement energy may depend on the choice of Banyaga's topology on the space of symplectic isotopies. The proof of Theorem 4.1 given in [35] suggests to think of the following questions.

Let ϕ be a symplectic diffeomorphism whose flux is nontrivial. Assume that ϕ displaces totally a given nonempty open subset $\mathcal{O} \subset M$. Then, is the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism arising in Hodge's decomposition of ϕ can totally displace the open subset in question?

To put Theorem 4.1 into further prospective, observe that it tells us to think of the following situation: if in Theorem 4.1 the sequence $\Phi_i = \{\phi_i^t\}$ is only Cauchy in D^2, then

- What can we say about the nature of ϕ?
- Can ϕ be viewed as the time-one map of some continuous path?

An attempt to answer the above questions can justify the following definitions.

Definition 4.2. ([9]) A continuous map $\xi : [0,1] \to Homeo(M)$ with $\xi(0) = id$ is called strong symplectic isotopy if there exists a D^2- Cauchy sequence $(F_i, \lambda_i) \subset \mathfrak{T}(M, \omega, g)$ such that $\bar{d}(\phi_{(F_i,\lambda_i)}, \xi) \to 0, i \to \infty$.

The following result is the $L^\infty-$ context of Lemma 3.4 found in [8].

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}, (U^i, \mathcal{H}^i))$ *be a* $(D^2 + \bar{d})-$*Cauchy sequence. Then the following holds true,*

$$\max_t osc(\Delta_t(\mathcal{H}^i - \mathcal{H}^{i-1}, \phi_{(U^{i-1},\mathcal{H}^{i-1})})) \to 0, i \to \infty.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.3. The proof of Lemma 4.3 is a verbatim repetition of the proof of Lemma 3.4 found in [8]. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let* $\Phi_i = (\phi_i^t)$ *be a sequence of symplectic isotopies which is Cauchy with respect to the metric* $\bar{d}$ *such that the sequence of* $1-$*parameter family of symplectic vector fields* $X_t^i := \dfrac{d\phi_i^t}{dt} \circ (\phi_i^t)^{-1}$ *is Cauchy in the norm* $\|.\|^\infty$*. Then, the sequence of* $1-$*parameter family of symplectic vector fields* $Y_t^i := -(\phi_i^{-t})_*(X_t^i)$ *is Cauchy in the norm* $\|.\|^\infty$.

Proof of Corollary 4.4. Put $\Phi_i = \phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}$. By definition of Y_t^i, we have

$$\|Y^i - Y^{i+1}\|^\infty = \max_t osc(U_t^i \circ \phi_i^t - U_t^{i+1} \circ \phi_{i+1}^t - \widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^i, \Phi_i) + \widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^{i+1}, \Phi_{i+1}))$$

$$+ \max_t |\mathcal{H}^i - \mathcal{H}^{i+1}|$$

$$\leq \max_t osc(U_t^i \circ \phi_i^t - U_t^i \circ \phi_{i+1}^t) + \max_t osc(U_t^i \circ \phi_{i+1}^t - U_t^{i+1} \circ \phi_{i+1}^t)$$
$$+ \max_t osc(\widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^i, \Phi_i) - \widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^{i+1}, \Phi_{i+1})) + \max_t |\mathcal{H}^i - \mathcal{H}^{i+1}|.$$

To achieve the proof it remains to show that $\max_t osc(\widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^i, \Phi_i) - \widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^{i+1}, \Phi_{i+1}))$ tends to zero when i goes at infinity. To that end, we compute,

$$\max_t osc(\widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^i, \Phi_i) - \widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^{i+1}, \Phi_{i+1})) \leq \max_t osc(\widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^i, \Phi_i) - \widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^i, \Phi_{i+1}))$$
$$+ \max_t osc(\widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^i, \Phi_{1+i}) - \widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^{i+1}, \Phi_{i+1})),$$

and derive from Lemma 4.3 that

$$\max_t osc(\widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^i, \Phi_{1+i}) - \widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^{i+1}, \Phi_{i+1})) \to 0, i \to \infty.$$

Lemma 3.9 found in [35] implies that

$$\max_t osc(\widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^i, \Phi_i) - \widetilde{\Delta}_t(\mathcal{H}^i, \Phi_{i+1})) \to 0, i \to \infty.$$

This achieves the proof. □

According to Corollary 4.4, Definition (4.2) is equivalent to the following definition.

Definition 4.5. A continuous map $\xi : [0,1] \to Homeo(M)$ with $\xi(0) = id$ is called strong symplectic isotopy if there exists a sequence of symplectic isotopies $\Phi_j = (\phi_j^t)$ such that $\bar{d}(\Phi_j, \xi) \to 0, j \to \infty$, and the sequence of smooth families of symplectic vector fields (X_t^i) defined by $X_t^i := \dfrac{d\phi_i^t}{dt} \circ (\phi_i^t)^{-1}$ is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$.

We denote by $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega)$ the space of all strong symplectic isotopies.

It is proved in [9, 34] that $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega)$ is a group. In particular, if the manifold is simply connected, then the group $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega)$ reduces to the group of continuous Hamiltonian flows, and the set of generators reduces to the set of generating functions [30], [37]. The set of time-one maps of all strong symplectic isotopies coincides with the group $SSympeo(M, \omega)$, of all strong symplectic homeomorphisms [8, 6].

Note that in Definition (4.2), if the sequence (F_j, λ_j) is such that $F_j = 0$ for all j, then the corresponding strong symplectic isotopy is called a topological harmonic isotopy (see [34]).

For simplicity, in the rest of the present paper, we will sometimes say that a sequence of symplectic isotopies (ϕ_i^t) is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$ to mean to mean the sequence of smooth families of symplectic vector fields (Z_t^i) defined by $Z_t^i := \dfrac{d\phi_i^t}{dt} \circ (\phi_i^t)^{-1}$ is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$.

Some of the following questions can be found in [34].

Question (a)

Is the space of all topological harmonic flows strictly contain the space of smooth harmonic isotopies?

Question (b)

What is the intersection of the set of all topological harmonic flows and the space of all topological Hamiltonian flows?

Question (c)

Is any continuous path $\gamma : t \mapsto \gamma_t$ in $SSympeo(M, \omega)$ with $\gamma_0 = id$, a strong symplectic isotopy?

It is not too hard to see that the uniqueness results of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 imply that the set of all topological harmonic flows intersects the space of all topological Hamiltonian flows, and the latter intersection contains a single element which is the constant path identity.

We start the main results of this paper by scanning the following well-known result from flux geometry which is due to Banyaga [2, 3] : "Any symplectic isotopy whose flux is sufficiently small in $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ is homotopic relatively with fixed extremities to a Hamiltonian isotopy". This follows from the discreteness of the flux group Γ that was proved in Ono [32]. However, in the C^0 case, such a result is not yet known, i.e. it is not know any criterion that may satisfies a given ssympeotopy ξ (which is not a Hameotopy) to be homotopic relatively with fixed extremities a Hameotopy. Analyzing the prove that was given by Banyaga [2, 3] in the smooth case together with the construction of Fathi's mass flow, it follows from our intuition that in the C^0 case, a key ingredient of such a problem lies in Fathi's Poincaré duality theorem [17]. To that end, we point out the following result showing an interplay between the symplectic dynamical system and the topological dynamical system.

Theorem 4.6. *(Sequential-Homotopic Fathi's duality theorem) Let (M, ω) be any closed symplectic manifold, and ξ be a ssympeotopy whose Fathi's mass flow is trivial. If (Φ_i) is any sequence of symplectic isotopies which is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$, and converges in $\bar{d}$ to ξ. Then, one can extract a subsequence (ν_i) of the sequence (Φ_i) so that for each i, the isotopy ν_i is homotopic to a Hamiltonian isotopy Ψ_i (relatively with fixed extremities). The sequence (Ψ_i) is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$, and converges in $\bar{d}$ to a Hameotopy μ. Furthermore, the paths μ is homotopic relatively with fixed extremities to ξ.*

In particular, Theorem 4.6 implies that any ssympeotopies whose Fathi's mass flow is trivial admits its extremities in the group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms defined in [30]. Some avatars of Theorem 4.6 are underlying to the following facts.

1. If ξ is a loop at the identity, then can we deform the sequence (Φ_i) into a sequence of loops at the identity (Ψ_i) such that (Ψ_i) is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$, and converges in $\bar{d}$ to ξ?
2. If ξ is homotopic to the constant path identity, then can we extract a subsequence (Ψ_i) of the sequence (Φ_i) such that for each i, the isotopy Ψ_i is homotopic to the constant path identity?

It is not too hard to see that each of the above question falls within the ambit of uniform approximation of (volume-preserving) homeomorphisms by (volume-preserving) diffeomorphisms. Such problems had been studied in Eliashberg [16], Gromov [18], Müller [27], Munkres [29], and Connell [14, 15]. The following result gives an affirmative answer of question (1).

Theorem 4.7. *(Sequential Weinstein's deformation) Let (M,ω) be any closed symplectic manifold. Let ξ be any strong symplectic isotopy which is a loop at the identity. If (Φ_i) is any sequence of symplectic isotopies which is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$, and converges in $\bar{d}$ to ξ. Then, the sequence (Φ_i) can be deformed onto a sequence of loops (Ψ_i) which is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$, and converges in $\bar{d}$ to ξ.*

Besides of the above results, we have elaborated the following result that contributes to the comprehension of the topological symplectic dynamical systems. In fact, it shows in particular that any strong symplectic isotopy which is a $1-$parameter group decomposes as composition of a smooth harmonic flow and a continuous Hamiltonian flow in the sense of Oh-Müller.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\beta=(\beta_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ be a strong symplectic isotopy. Assume that $\beta=(\beta_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ is a $1-$parameter group (i.e: $\beta_{t+s}=\beta_t\circ\beta_s\ \ \forall s,t\in[0,1]$ such that $(s+t)$ lies in $[0,1]$). Then, its generator (F,λ) is time-independent.*

4.1 Generators of ssympeotopies

Let $\mathcal{N}^0([0,1]\times M\ ,\mathbb{R})$ be the completion of the metric space $\mathcal{N}([0,1]\times M\ ,\mathbb{R})$ for the L^∞ Hofer norm, and $\mathcal{PH}^1(M,g)_0$ be the completion of the metric space $\mathcal{PH}^1(M,g)$ for the uniform sup norm. Put,

$$J^0(M,\omega,g)=:\mathcal{N}^0([0,1]\times M\ ,\mathbb{R})\times\mathcal{PH}^1(M,g)_0,$$

and consider the following inclusion map $i_0:\mathfrak{T}(M,\omega,g)\to J^0(M,\omega,g)$. The map i_0 is uniformly continuous with respect of the topology induced by the metric D^2 on the space $\mathfrak{T}(M,\omega,g)$, and the natural topology of the complete metric space $J^0(M,\omega,g)$. Now, let $L(M,\omega,g)$ denotes the space $image(i_0)$, and $\mathfrak{T}(M,\omega,g)_0$ be the closure of $L(M,\omega,g)$ inside the complete metric space $J^0(M,\omega,g)$. That is, $\mathfrak{T}(M,\omega,g)_0$ consists of pairs $(U,\mathcal{H})$ where the mappings $(t,x)\mapsto U_t(x)$ and $t\mapsto\mathcal{H}_t$ are continuous, and for each t, $\mathcal{H}_t$ lies in $\mathcal{H}^1(M,g)$ such that there exists a D^2-Cauchy sequence $(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)\subset\mathfrak{T}(M,\omega,g)$ that converges to $(U,\mathcal{H})\in J^0(M,\omega,g)$.

Observe that the sequence (F_j,λ_j) in Definition (4.2) converges necessarily in the complete metric space $\mathfrak{T}(M,\omega,g)_0$. The latter limit represents what we called in the beginning the "generator" of the strong symplectic isotopy ξ.

In the rest of this work, for short, we will often write $(F^i,\lambda^i)\xrightarrow{L^\infty}(U,\mathcal{H})$ to mean that the sequence (F^i,λ^i) converges to $(U,\mathcal{H})$ in the space $J^0(M,\omega,g)$.

4.2 Group structure of $GSSympeo(M,\omega,g)$, [9]

Definition 4.9. ([9]) We define the set $GSSympeo(M,\omega,g)$ as the space of pairs $(\xi,(U,\mathcal{H}))$ where ξ is an $L^\infty-$ssympeotopy admitting $(U,\mathcal{H})$ as generator.

Definition 4.10. We define the symplectic topology on the space $GSSympeo(M,\omega,g)$ as the subspace topology induced by its inclusion in the complete topological space $\mathcal{P}(Homeo(M),id)\times\mathfrak{T}(M,\omega,g)_0$.

4.2.1 Group structure of $GSSympeo(M,\omega,g)$

The group structure on the space $GSSympeo(M,\omega,g)$ is defined as follows: For all $(\xi,(F,\lambda)),(\mu,(V,\theta))\in GSSympeo(M,\omega,g)$, their product is given by,

$$(\xi,(F,\lambda))*(\mu,(V,\theta))=(\xi\circ\mu,(F+V\circ\xi^{-1}+\Delta^0(\theta,\xi^{-1}),\lambda+\theta)),$$

and the inverse of the element $(\xi,(F,\lambda))$ is given by,

$$\overline{(\xi,(F,\lambda))}=(\xi^{-1},(-F\circ\xi-\Delta^0(\lambda,\xi),-\lambda)),$$

where

$$\Delta^0(\theta,\xi^{-1}) =: \lim_{L^\infty}(\widetilde{\Delta}(\theta^i,\phi^{-1}_{(F^i,\lambda^i)}), \tag{4.1}$$

$$\Delta^0(\lambda,\xi) =: \lim_{L^\infty}(\widetilde{\Delta}(\lambda^i,\phi_{(F^i,\lambda^i)})), \tag{4.2}$$

(F^i,λ^i), and (V^i,θ^i) are two arbitrary sequences in $\mathfrak{T}(M,\omega,g)$ such that

$$(F^i,\lambda^i)\xrightarrow{L^\infty}(U,\mathcal{H}),$$

$$(V^i,\theta^i)\xrightarrow{L^\infty}(V,\theta),$$

and $\widetilde{\Delta}_t(\lambda^i,\phi^{-1}_{(F^i,\lambda^i)})$ is the function $\Delta_t(\lambda^i,\phi^{-1}_{(F^i,\lambda^i)})$ normalized.

Remark 4.11. The functions defined in relations (4.1) and (4.2) do make sense because one derives from Lemma 3.9 found in [35] that both sequences of smooth functions $\widetilde{\Delta}(\lambda^i,\phi_{(F^i,\lambda^i)})$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}(\theta^i,\phi^{-1}_{(F^i,\lambda^i)})$ are Cauchy in the L^∞ metric, hence converge in the space $\mathcal{N}^0([0,1]\times M,\mathbb{R})$.

For short, we will often write " $(C^0+L^\infty)-$topology" to mean the C^0- symplectic topology on the space $GSSympeo(M,\omega,g)$, and often

$$(\lambda,(U,\mathcal{H}))=\lim_{C^0+L^\infty}(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)})$$

will mean that $(\lambda,(U,\mathcal{H}))$ is the limit in the space $GSSympeo(M,\omega,g)$ of a sequence $(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)},(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i))$ where $\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}$ is the sequence of symplectic isotopies generated by $(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)$. It is proved in [9] that $GSSympeo(M,\omega,g)$ is a topological group with respect to the $(C^0+L^\infty)-$topology.

Remark 4.12. Using a result found in [35] together with Fatou's lemma from measure theory, one can check that in relations (4.2), if ξ is a continuous Hamiltonian flow in the sense of Oh-Müller, then,

$$\int_M\Delta^0_t(\theta,\xi)d\mu(x)=0,$$

for each t, where μ is the Liouville measure. In addition, let ξ be a ssympeotopy, and α be any closed $1-$form over M. If (Φ_i) is any sequence of symplectic

isotopies which is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$, and converges in $\bar{d}$ to ξ. Then, the mapping following mapping is well-defined

$$S^\alpha : \xi \longmapsto \frac{1}{n} \lim_{C^0+L^\infty} \int_M \Delta_1(\alpha, \Phi_i)\omega^n.$$

In deed, If (Ψ_i) is another sequence of symplectic isotopies which is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$, and converges in $\bar{d}$ to ξ, then

$$|\frac{1}{n}\int_M \Delta_1(\alpha, \Phi_i)\omega^n - \frac{1}{n}\int_M \Delta_1(\alpha, \Psi_i)\omega^n| \leq \langle Flux_\omega(\Phi_i \circ \Psi_i^{-1}), [\alpha \wedge \omega^{n-1}]\rangle_P,$$

$$\leq \|Flux_\omega(\Phi_i \circ \Psi_i^{-1})\|_{L^2}.\|[\alpha \wedge \omega^{n-1}]\|_{L^2},$$

for each i, $\langle , \rangle_P$ is the Poincaré scalar product, and $\|, \|_{L^2}$ stands for the L^2-Hodge norm on the space $H^*(M, \mathbb{R})$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{C^0+L^\infty} |\frac{1}{n}\int_M \Delta_1(\alpha, \Phi_i)\omega^n - \frac{1}{n}\int_M \Delta_1(\alpha, \Psi_i)\omega^n| = 0,$$

since $\|Flux_\omega(\Phi_i \circ \Psi_i^{-1})\|_{L^2} \to 0, i \to \infty$. We get a linear mapping

$$\mathcal{K}_0(\xi) : H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, [\alpha] \longmapsto S^\alpha(\xi),$$

for each ξ. Thus, $\mathcal{K}_0(\xi)$ belongs to the dual space of $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ which is $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$. This seems to suggest that we can construct a group homomorphism

$$\mathcal{K}_0 : \mathcal{PSSympeo}(M, \omega) \longrightarrow H_1(M, \mathbb{R}), \xi \longmapsto \mathcal{K}_0(\xi),$$

which is similar to the Fathi's mass flow, and $\ker \mathcal{K}_0$ contains the group of all continuous Hamiltonian flows. We do not know whether $\mathcal{K}_0$ can give rise to a C^0-symplectic invariant or not, and we do not study the above mapping in this paper.

Theorem 1.4 is equivalent to the following result.

Theorem 4.13. *Any generator corresponds to a unique strong symplectic isotopy, i.e. if* $(\gamma, (U, \mathcal{H})), (\xi, (U, \mathcal{H})) \in GSSympeo(M, \omega, g)$*, then we must have* $\gamma = \xi$.

4.3 Proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 4.8

Proof of Theorem 4.13. Let $(\gamma, (U, \mathcal{H}))$ and $(\xi, (U, \mathcal{H}))$ be two elements of $GSSympeo(M, \omega, g)$. By definition of the group $GSSympeo(M, \omega, g)$, there exist two sequences of symplectic isotopies $\phi_{(U_i, H_i)}$ and $\phi_{(V_i, K_i)}$ such that

$$(\gamma, (U, \mathcal{H})) = \lim_{C^0+L^\infty} (\phi_{(U_i, H_i)}),$$

and

$$(\xi, (U, \mathcal{H})) = \lim_{C^0+L^\infty} (\phi_{(V_i, K_i)}).$$

Assume that $\gamma \neq \xi$, i.e. there exists $s_0 \in]0, 1]$ such that $\gamma(s_0) \neq \xi(s_0)$. Since the map $\gamma^{-1}(s_0) \circ \xi(s_0)$ belongs to $Homeo(M)$, we derive from the identity $\gamma^{-1}(s_0) \circ \xi(s_0) \neq id$, the existence of a closed ball B which is entirely moved by

$\gamma^{-1}(s_0)\circ\xi(s_0)$. From the compactness of B, and the uniform convergence of the sequence $\phi^{-1}_{(U_i,H_i)}\circ\phi_{(V_i,K_i)}$ to $\gamma^{-1}\circ\xi$, we derive that

$$(\phi^{-s_0}_{(U_i,H_i)}\circ\phi^{s_0}_{(V_i,K_i)})(B)\cap(B)=\emptyset, \tag{4.3}$$

for all sufficiently large i. Relation (4.3) implies that,

$$0<e_S(B)\leq l^{\infty}(\phi^{-1}_{(U_i,H_i)}\circ\phi_{(V_i,K_i)}),$$

for all sufficiently large i, where e_S is the symplectic displacement energy from Banyaga-Hurtubise-Spaeth [7], and $l^{\infty}(.)$ represents the L^{∞} length functional of symplectic isotopies [4]. On the other hand, compute

$$\begin{aligned}
l^{\infty}(\phi^{-1}_{(U_i,H_i)}\circ\phi_{(V_i,K_i)}) &= \max_t osc(-U_i\circ\phi_{(U_i,H_i)}+V_i\circ\phi_{(U_i,H_i)}+\widetilde{\Delta}_t(K_i-H_i,\phi_{(U_i,H_i)}))\\
&\quad+\max_t|H_i^t-K_i^t|\\
&\leq \max_t|H_i^t-K_i^t|+\max_t osc(\widetilde{\Delta}_t(K_i-H_i,\phi_{(U_i,H_i)}))\\
&\quad+\max_t osc(-U_i\circ\phi_{(U_i,H_i)}+U_i\circ\phi_{(U_{i+1},H_{i+1})})\\
&\quad+\max_t osc(-U_i\circ\phi_{(U_{i+1},H_{i+1})}+U\circ\phi_{(U_{i+1},H_{i+1})})\\
&\quad+\max_t osc(-U\circ\phi_{(U_{i+1},H_{i+1})}+V_i\circ\phi_{(U_{i+1},H_{i+1})})\\
&\quad+\max_t osc(-V_i\circ\phi_{(U_{i+1},H_{i+1})}+V_i\circ\phi_{(U_i,H_i)}).
\end{aligned}$$

So, to prove that the right hand side of the above estimates tends to zero when i goes at infinity, we only need to prove that

$$\max_t osc(-U_i\circ\phi_{(U_i,H_i)}+V_i\circ\phi_{(U_i,H_i)}+\widetilde{\Delta}_t(K_i-H_i,\phi_{(U_i,H_i)}))\to 0, i\to\infty.$$

This follows from a straightforward application of Lemma 3.4 found in [8]. This contradicts the positivity of the symplectic displacement energy from Banyaga-Hurtubise-Spaeth [7]. This achieves the proof.□

According to the above uniqueness results of strong symplectic isotopies and their generators vise versa, we will denote any strong symplectic isotopy λ by $\lambda_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ to mean that it is generated by $(U,\mathcal{H})$, or equivalently $\lambda_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ is a limit of a sequence of symplectic isotopies $\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}$ with respect to the $(C^0+L^{\infty})-$topology, i.e.

$$\lambda_{(U,\mathcal{H})}=\lim_{C^0+L^{\infty}}(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}).$$

Proof of Theorem 4.8. Let $\beta_{(F,\lambda)}$ be a strong symplectic isotopy.

- Step (1). Assume that

$$\beta^{t+s}_{(F,\lambda)}=\beta^t_{(F,\lambda)}\circ\beta^s_{(F,\lambda)},$$

$\forall s,t\in[0,1]$ such that $(s+t)$ lies in $[0,1]$. We may prove that $\lambda^t=\lambda^s$, and $F^t(x)=F^s(x)$ for all $t,s\in[0,1]$, and for all $x\in M$. By definition of the path $t\mapsto\beta^t_{(F,\lambda)}$, we have

$$\beta_{(F,\lambda)}=\lim_{C^0+L^{\infty}}(\phi_{(F_i,\lambda_i)}),$$

where $\phi_{(F_i,\lambda_i)}$ is a sequence of symplectic isotopies. Observe that for each fixed $s \in [0,1]$, the sequence of symplectic maps defined by

$$\Psi_s^i(t) = \phi_{(F_i,\lambda_i)}^{(t+s)} \circ (\phi_{(F_i,\lambda_i)}^s)^{-1},$$

for all t such that $(t+s)$ belongs to $[0,1]$, converges in $\bar{d}$ to $\beta_{(F,\lambda)}$.

- Step (2). On the other hand, for each i compute the derivative (in t) of the path $t \mapsto \Psi_s^i(t)$, and derive from the chain rule that at each time t, the tangent vector to the path $t \mapsto \Psi_s^i(t)$ coincides with the tangent vector to the path $t \mapsto \phi_{(F_i,\lambda_i)}^{(t+s)}$. That is, the isotopy $t \mapsto \Psi_s^i(t)$ is generated by an element (U_s^i, H_s^i) where $U_s^i(t) = F_i^{t+s}$, and $H_s^i(t) = \lambda_i^{t+s}$ for all t such that $(t+s)$ belongs to $[0,1]$, and for each i. Furthermore, the sequence of generators (U_s^i, H_s^i) converges in the $L^\infty-$ metric to (U_s, H_s) where $U_s(t) = F^{t+s}$, and $H_s(t) = \lambda^{t+s}$ for all t such that $(t+s)$ belongs to $[0,1]$.

- It follows from steps (1) and (2) that the element (U_s, H_s) generates the strong symplectic isotopy $\beta_{(F,\lambda)}$. Thus, Theorem 1.3 tells us that for each fixed $s \in [0,1]$ we must have $\lambda^t = \lambda^{t+s}$, and $F^t(x) = F^{s+t}(x)$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ such that $(t+s)$ belongs to $[0,1]$ and for all $x \in M$. This is always true for a given $s \in [0,1]$ such that $(t+s)$ belongs to $[0,1]$, i.e. we have $\lambda^t = \lambda^0$, and $F^t(x) = F^0(x)$ for all $t \in [0,1]$, and for all $x \in M$. This achieves the proof. □

As we said in the beginning, Theorem 4.8 suggests that any strong symplectic isotopy which is a $1-$parameter group decomposes into the composition of smooth harmonic flow and a continuous Hamiltonian flow in the sense of Oh-Müller [31].

Question (e)

Is $1-$parameter group any strong symplectic isotopy which decomposes into the composition of smooth harmonic flow and a continuous Hamiltonian flow?

We have the following fact.

Lemma 4.14. *Let $\lambda_{(U,\mathcal{H})}^t$, $t \in [0,1]$ be any strong symplectic isotopy. For each fixed $s \in [0,1)$, the path $t \mapsto \lambda_{(V,\mathcal{K})}^t := \lambda_{(U,\mathcal{H})}^{(t+s)} \circ (\lambda_{(U,\mathcal{H})}^s)^{-1}$ is a strong symplectic isotopy generated by $(V,\mathcal{K})$ where $V(t,x) = U(t+s,x)$, and $\mathcal{K}_t = \mathcal{H}_{(t+s)}$ for all $t \in [0,1-s]$, and for all $x \in M$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.14. Assume that $\lambda_{(U,\mathcal{H})} = \lim_{C^0+L^\infty}(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)})$. For each fixed $s \in [0,1)$, consider the sequence $\phi_{(V^i,\mathcal{K}^i)}$ of symplectic isotopies defined by

$$\phi_{(V^i,\mathcal{K}^i)}^t = \phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}^{(t+s)} \circ (\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}^s)^{-1},$$

for all t, and for each i. Compute the derivative (in t) of the path $t \mapsto \phi_{(V^i,\mathcal{K}^i)}^t$, and derive from the chain rule that at each time t, the tangent vector to the path $t \mapsto \phi_{(V^i,\mathcal{K}^i)}^t$ coincides with the tangent vector to the path $t \mapsto \phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}^{(t+s)}$, or equivalently we get $V^i(t) = U^i(t+s)$, and $\mathcal{K}_t^i = \mathcal{H}_{(t+s)}^i$ for all $t \in [0,1]$ such that $(t+s)$ belongs to $[0,1]$. A straightforward computation implies that the sequence

of symplectic isotopies $t \mapsto \phi^t_{(V^i,\mathcal{K}^i)}$ converges in $\bar{d}$ to $\lambda^{(t+s)}_{(U,\mathcal{H})} \circ (\lambda^s_{(U,\mathcal{H})})^{-1}$, as well as the sequence of generators $(V^i, \mathcal{K}^i)$ converges in the L^∞ topology to an element $(V, \mathcal{K})$ such that $V(t,x) = U(t+s,x)$, and $\mathcal{K}_t = \mathcal{H}_{(t+s)}$ for all $t \in [0, 1-s]$, and for all $x \in M$. That is, $\lambda_{(V,\mathcal{K})}$ is a strong symplectic isotopy generated by $(V, \mathcal{K})$, i.e. $\lambda_{(V,\mathcal{K})} = \lim_{C^0+L^\infty}(\phi_{(V^i,\mathcal{K}^i)})$. This completes the proof. □

In the following, by $\sharp$ we denote the natural isomorphism induced by the symplectic form ω from cotangent bundle TM^* to tangent bundle TM.

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.15. *(Sequential deformation) Let (M, ω) be any closed symplectic manifold. Let (Z^i_t) be a $\|.\|^\infty-$Cauchy sequence of harmonic vector fields. For each i, set*

$$Z^{(s,t)}_i = tZ^i_{st} - 2s(\int_0^t (\iota(Z^i_u)\omega)du)^\sharp,$$

$$Y^t_i = -\int_0^t Z^i_u du.$$

We have the following properties.

1. *For each i, $(Y^t_i)_t$ is a smooth family of harmonic vector fields, the sequence $(Y^t_i)_t$ is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$, and the sequence smooth paths generated by $(Y^t_i)_t$ converges in $\bar{d}$. The latter limit is a strong symplectic isotopy.*
2. *For each fixed t, let $(\theta^i_{s,t})_s$ denotes the flow generated by Y^t_i. Then, $(\theta^i_{s,t})_s$ converges in $\bar{d}$. The latter limit is a strong symplectic isotopy.*
3. *For each i, for each fixed t, sequence of family of symplectic vector fields $(Z^{(s,t)}_i)_s$ converges in $\|.\|^\infty$, and the sequence of smooth paths $(G^i_{(s,t)})_s$ generated by $(Z^{(s,t)}_i)_s$ converges in $\bar{d}$. The latter limit is then obviously a strong symplectic isotopy.*
4. *For each fixed s, the sequence of family of symplectic vector fields defined by $V^i_{(s,t)} = \dfrac{d}{dt}G^i_{(s,t)}((G^i_{(s,t)})^{-1})$ is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.15. This proof is subtle, in view of this fact we shall proceed step by step. Let (Z^i_t) be a sequence of harmonic vector fields which is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$.

- (1). For each i, for each $u \in [0,t]$, Z^i_u is harmonic, and
  the map $t \mapsto -\int_0^t Z^i_u du$ is smooth, i.e. Y^t_i is harmonic. Compute,

  $$\|(Y^t_i)_t - (Y^t_{1+i})_t\|^\infty \leq \|(Z^i_t)_t - (Z^{1+i}_t)_t\|^\infty,$$

  for each i, and conclude that the right hand side tends to zero when i goes at infinity. Since the sequence $(Y^t_i)_t$ is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$, we derive that the sequence of smooth family of harmonic $1-$forms $(\mathcal{H}^t_i)_t$ defined by $\iota(Y^t_i)\omega = \mathcal{H}^t_i$ is Cauchy in $\mathcal{PH}^1(M,g)$ with respect to the norm $\|.\|^\infty$.

Hence, the latter converges in the complete metric space $\mathcal{PH}^1(M,g)_0$ to a continuous family of smooth harmonic $1-$forms $(\mathcal{H}^t)$. Since $(\mathcal{H}^t)^\sharp$ is a continuous family of vector fields, we derive from [34] (Lemma 5.1, [34]) or [1] that the sequence of smooth paths generated by $(Y_i^t)_t$ converges in $\bar{d}$ to a continuous family of smooth diffeomorphisms. Therefore, the celebrated rigidity theorem from Eliashberg-Gromov tells us that the latter limit is a continuous family of smooth symplectic diffeomorphisms.

- (2). For each fixed t, since the sequence of harmonic vector fields $(Y_i^t)_i$ is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$, we derive as in item (1) that the sequence $(\theta_{s,t}^i)_s$ of flows generated by the sequence $(Y_i^t)_i$ converge in $\bar{d}$.

- (3). It is not too hard to derive from the assumption that for each fixed t, the sequence symplectic vector fields $(Z_i^{(s,t)})_s$ is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$ since for each fixed t, a straightforward calculation leads to the following estimate

$$\|(Z_{i+1}^{(s,t)})_s - (Z_i^{(s,t)})_s\|^\infty \leq 3\|(Z_u^i) - (Z_u^{i+1})\|^\infty.$$

  On the other hand,since the sequence of harmonic vectors fields $(Z_i^{(s,t)})_s$ is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$, one uses the same arguments as in item (1) to derive that the sequence of symplectic isotopies $(G_{(s,t)}^i)_s$ generated by $(Z_i^{(s,t)})_s$ converges in $\bar{d}$ to a continuous family $(G_{(t,s)})_s$ of symplectic diffeomorphisms. That is, for each fixed t, the continuous family $(G_{(t,s)})_s$ of symplectic diffeomorphisms is a strong symplectic isotopy so that the map $(s,t) \mapsto G_{(s,t)}$ is continuous. It follows from the above that for each fixed s, the sequence of symplectic isotopies $(G_{(s,t)}^i)_t$ converges uniformly to the continuous family $(G_{(t,s)})_t$ of symplectic diffeomorphisms.

- (4). The main argument used in the following can be found in [4]. Let $symp(M,\omega)$ be the space of symplectic vector fields. Consider $\mathfrak{X}$ to be the space of all smooth curves,

$$c : I = [0,1] \to symp(M,\omega),$$

  with $c(0) = 0$ endowed with the norm $\|.\|^\infty$. Then, we equip the product space $\mathfrak{X} \times I$ with the following distance

$$\delta((c,s),(c',s')) = ((\|c-c'\|^\infty)^2 + |s-s'|^2)^{1/2}.$$

  On the other hand, consider $\mathfrak{N}$ to be the space of all smooth functions $u : I \times I \to symp(M,\omega)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_0 = \sup_{s,t} \|u(s,t)\|.$$

  We have the following smooth mappings,

$$\begin{array}{l} A_s : c(t) \mapsto tc(st) - 2s(\int_0^t (i_{c(u)}\omega)du)^\sharp = U_{s,t} \\ I_s : U_{s,t} \mapsto G_{s,t} \\ \partial_t : G_{s,t} \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_{s,t}, \end{array}$$

  which induce the following Lipschitz map $\mathcal{R} : \mathfrak{X} \times I \to \mathfrak{N}$ where $\mathcal{R} = \partial_t \circ I_s \circ A_s$. Observe that for each i, the 2-parameter family of vector

fields $V^i_{s,t}$ is the image of the couple $((Z^i_t), s)$ by the Lipschitz continuous mapping $\mathcal{R}$. Then, it follows from the Lipschitz uniform continuity that for all fixed s, the sequence of symplectic vector fields $(V^i_{s,t})_t$ is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_t |V^i_{s,t} - V^{1+i}_{s,t}| &= \delta(\mathcal{R}((Z^i_t), s), \mathcal{R}((Z^{1+i}_t), s)) \\ &\leq \kappa \|(Z^i_t) - (Z^{1+i}_t)\|^\infty \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where κ is the Lipschitz constant of the map $\mathcal{R}$. The right hand side of the above estimate tends to zero when i goes at infinity. This achieves the proof. $\square$

5 An enlargement of the first Calabi's invariant

Note that in view of the uniqueness results of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 the groups $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega)$ and $GSSympeo(M, \omega, g)$ are isomorphic, i.e.

$$GSSympeo(M, \omega, g) \approx \mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega).$$

So, we identify $GSSympeo(M, \omega, g)$ with $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega)$, and refer to the topology on $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega)$ as the $(C^0 + L^\infty)-$topology. Under this identification, $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega)$ can be viewed as a topological group.

Definition 5.1. We define the symplectic topology on the space $SSympeo(M, \omega)$ to be the strongest topology which makes the mapping

$$ev : \mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega) \to SSympeo(M, \omega),$$

$$\xi_{(F,\lambda)} \mapsto \xi^1_{(F,\lambda)},$$

becomes continuous with respect to the $(C^0+L^\infty)-$topology on $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega)$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{SS}ympeo(M, \omega)$ the group $SSympeo(M, \omega)$ equipped with the symplectic topology. By definition, the map

$$ev : \mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega) \to \mathfrak{SS}ympeo(M, \omega)$$

is surjective, continuous and open. In fact, the openness of ev is proved as follows. Pick an open subset $\mathcal{O}$ inside the space $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega)$. We have to prove that $ev(\mathcal{O})$ is an open subset inside the topological space $\mathfrak{SS}ympeo(M, \omega)$. By definition of the topological structure on the space $\mathfrak{SS}ympeo(M, \omega)$, it suffices to prove that the subset $ev^{-1}(ev(\mathcal{O}))$ is open in $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega)$.
Let γ be a loop inside the topological group $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega)$, and let L_γ denotes the left translation by γ inside the topological group $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega)$. We have $ev(L_\gamma(\mathcal{O})) = ev(\mathcal{O})$ which implies that $L_\gamma(\mathcal{O}) \subset ev^{-1}(ev(\mathcal{O}))$. Then, by taking the union over all the loops γ in $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M, \omega)$ we obtain $\bigcup_\gamma L_\gamma(\mathcal{O}) \subset ev^{-1}(ev(\mathcal{O}))$. Since translations are open in any topological group, it follows that $\bigcup_\gamma L_\gamma(\mathcal{O})$ is open as an arbitrarily collection of open sets (we refer to [22], [23] for further comprehension of topological groups). On the another

hand, let α be an element that belongs to $ev^{-1}(ev(\mathcal{O}))$. By characterization of any element therein $ev^{-1}(ev(\mathcal{O}))$, there exists an element β in $\mathcal{O}$ such that $\alpha = ev^{-1}(ev(\beta))$. That is, $ev(\alpha) = ev(\beta)$ since ev is surjective. From the identity $\alpha = (\alpha \circ \beta^{-1}) \circ \beta$ we derive that $\alpha \in L_{\alpha\circ\beta^{-1}}(\mathcal{O})$ since $\alpha \circ \beta^{-1}$ is a loop at the identity. That is, $ev^{-1}(ev(\mathcal{O})) \subset \bigcup_\gamma L_\gamma(\mathcal{O})$. Finally,

$$ev^{-1}(ev(\mathcal{O})) = \bigcup_\gamma L_\gamma(\mathcal{O}),$$

is open. As a consequence of the above fact we see that $\mathfrak{SS}ympeo(M,\omega)$ is a topological group.

5.1 The mass flow for strong symplectic isotopies

In the following subsection, we compute the mass flow for strong symplectic isotopies.

Let (Φ_i) be a sequence of symplectic isotopies which converges in $\bar{d}$ to λ. According to Fathi's, the assignation $\lambda \mapsto \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\lambda)$ is continuous with the respect to the uniform topology, i.e.

$$\lim_{\bar{d}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\Phi_i)(f)) = \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\lambda)(f),$$

for all continuous mapping $f : M \to \mathbb{S}^1$. This implies that

$$\lim_{\bar{d}}\langle Flux_\omega(\Phi_i), [\frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \wedge f^*\sigma]\rangle_P^1 = \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\lambda)(f),$$

for all continuous mapping $f : M \to \mathbb{S}^1$ (see Section 3.3 of the present paper). But, in the latter equality one cannot permute the limit in $\bar{d}$ with the integral since the convergence of the sequence (Φ_i) in $\bar{d}$ does not guarantee that the sequence of cohomological classes $(Flux_\omega(\Phi_i))$ converges in $H^1(M,\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the topology induced by the vector space structure. For instance, consider the following continuous mappings,

$$P_2 : \mathcal{PSS}ympeo(M,\omega) \to \mathcal{PH}^1(M,g)_0,$$

$$\xi_{(F,\theta)} \mapsto \theta,$$

$$Q_0 : \mathcal{PSS}ympeo(M,\omega) \to \mathcal{P}(Homeo(M), id),$$

$$\xi_{(F,\theta)} \mapsto \xi_{(F,\theta)}.$$

Since any symplectic isotopy $\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ belongs to $\mathcal{PSS}ympeo(M,\omega)$, we derive that,

$$\langle [\int_0^1 P_2(\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})})(t)dt], [\frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \wedge f^*\sigma]\rangle_P^1 = \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}(Q_0(\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}))(f), \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$[\int_0^1 P_2(\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})})(t)dt] = \int_0^1 [\mathcal{H}_t]dt = Flux_\omega(\phi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}).$$

Let $\lambda_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ be a strong symplectic isotopy such that

$$\lambda_{(U,\mathcal{H})} = \lim_{(C^0+L^\infty)}(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}).$$

According to equation (5.1), we have,

$$\langle[\int_0^1 P_2(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)})(t)dt], [\frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \wedge f^*\sigma]\rangle_P^1 = \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)})(f),$$

for each i, and taking the limit with respect to the $(C^0+L^\infty)-$topology in both sides leads to,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\lambda_{(U,\mathcal{H})})(f) &= \lim_{(C^0+L^\infty)}\langle[\int_0^1 P_2(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)})(t)dt], [\frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \wedge f^*\sigma]\rangle_P^1 \\
&= \langle \lim_{L^\infty} Flux_\omega((\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}), [\frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \wedge f^*\sigma]\rangle_P^1 \\
&= \langle[\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}_t dt], [\frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \wedge f^*\sigma]\rangle_P^1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from the above estimates that the mass flow of any strong symplectic isotopy $\lambda_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ is given by :

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\lambda_{(U,\mathcal{H})})(f) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\int_M (\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}_t dt) \wedge \omega^{n-1} \wedge f^*\sigma, \tag{5.2}$$

for all continuous mapping $f : M \to \mathbb{S}^1$. In particular, since any continuous Hamiltonian flow ξ (in the sense of Oh-Müller) can be written as $\xi = \xi_{(U,0)}$, we derive from equation (5.2) that the mass flow of any continuous Hamiltonian flow is trivial. This agrees with a result that was prove by Oh-Müller [31] asserting that the mass flow of any continuous Hamiltonian flow is trivial. We are now ready to prove Theorem 4.6.

5.2 Proofs of Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.7

Recall that Theorem 4.6 states that if ξ is a strong symplectic isotopy such that $\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\xi)(f) = 0$, for any function $f : M \to \mathbb{S}^1$, then ξ is homotopic relatively with fixed extremities to a continuous Hamiltonian flow.

Proof of Theorem 4.6. Let ξ be a continuous symplectic flow whose Fathi's mass flow is trivial, and (Φ_i) be a sequence of symplectic isotopies such that $\xi = \lim_{C^0+L^\infty}(\Phi_i)$. For each i, set $\Phi_i = (\phi_t^i)$, and let $\phi_t^i = \pi_t^i \circ \alpha_t^i$ be the Hodge decomposition of the isotopy (ϕ_t^i) where (π_t^i) is a harmonic isotopy, and (α_t^i) is a Hamiltonian isotopy. In view of Hodge's decomposition of strong symplectic isotopies, one can write the ssympeotopy ξ as follows :

$$\xi(t) = \pi_t \circ \alpha_t,$$

where (π_t) is a continuous harmonic flow, and (α_t) is continuous Hamiltonian flow in the sense of Oh-Müller (see [34], [31]).

- Step (a). By assumption, the Fathi's mass flow of π is trivial. Thus, the continuity of the mapping $\lambda \mapsto \widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\lambda)$ tells us that there exists a large integer i_0 such that for all $i>i_0$, the mass flow $\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho_i)$ is arbitrarily small. Next, we derive from Fathi's Poincaré duality theorem that one can make the flux of $\rho_i = (\rho_t^i)$ arbitrarily small. Assume this done. Then, under this assumption, we derive from Theorem 3.2 that for all $i>i_0$, the isotopy $\rho_i = (\rho_t^i)$ is homotopic relatively with fixed endpoints to a Hamiltonian isotopy (φ_t^i) constructed as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. More precisely, it follows from the proof of Theorem 3.2 that for each t, we have

$$\varphi_t^i = \theta_{1,t}^i \circ \rho_t^i,$$

for each i, where for each fixed t, $(\theta_{s,t}^i)_s$ is the flow generated by the symplectic vector field $Y_t = -(\int_0^t \iota(\dot{\rho}_u^i)du)^\sharp$. One derives from Lemma 4.15 that the sequence (φ_t^i) converges to a continuous Hamiltonian flow (φ_t).

- Step (b). Consider the sequence $(\nu_i) = (\Phi_i)_{i>i_0}$, and derive from step (a) that for each i the path ν_i is homotopic to relatively with fixed extremities to $\varphi_t^i \circ \alpha_t^i$ where the isotopy (α_t^i) is the Hamiltonian part in Hodge's decomposition of the isotopy $\Phi_i = (\phi_t^i)$. As a first glance, observe that the sequence $(\varphi_t^i \circ \alpha^i)_t$ converges in the $(C^0+L^\infty)-$topology to the continuous Hamiltonian flow $\mu : t \mapsto \varphi_t \circ \alpha_t$ where the isotopy (α_t) is the Hamiltonian part in Hodge's decomposition of the ssympeotopy ξ as indicated in the beginning of this proof. Next, compute

$$\mu(1) = \lim_{C^0}(\varphi_1^i \circ \alpha_1^i) = \lim_{C^0}(\rho_1^i \circ \alpha_1^i) = \lim_{C^0}(\phi_i^1) = \xi(1).$$

- Step (c). Lemma 4.15-(1), (2) suggests that

  1. for each fixed t, the sequence of symplectic isotopies $(\theta_{s,t}^i)_s$ converges in $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M,\omega)$ to a strong symplectic isotopy $(\theta_{(s,t)})_s$,
  2. for each fixed s, the sequence of symplectic isotopies $(\theta_{s,t}^i)_t$ converges in $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M,\omega)$ to a strong symplectic isotopy $(\theta_{(s,t)})_t$.

  It follows from the above facts that for all $(s,t) \in [0,1]\times[0,1]$, the C^0 limit of the sequence of symplectic diffeomorphisms $(\theta_{s,t}^i)$ exists, and the latter limit lies in $SSympeo(M,\omega)$. For all $(s,t) \in [0,1]\times[0,1]$, set

$$\lim_{C^0}(\theta_{s,t}^i) = \theta_{(s,t)}.$$

  By construction, the two paths (φ_t) and $t \mapsto \theta_{(1,t)} \circ \pi_t$ coincide, and $\theta_{(s,0)} = \theta_{(s,1)} = id_M = \theta_{(0,t)}$. Thus,

$$\mu : t \mapsto \varphi_t \circ \alpha_t = \theta_{(1,t)} \circ \pi_t \circ \alpha_t,$$

  is a continuous Hamiltonian flow. Finally, we define a homotopy between ξ and μ as follows.

$$H : [0,1]\times[0,1] \to \mathfrak{SS}ympeo(M,\omega),$$

$$(s,t) \mapsto \theta_{(s,t)} \circ \pi_t \circ \alpha_t,$$

such that $H(s,0) = id$, $H(s,1) = \xi(1) = \mu(1)$, $H(0,t) = \xi(t)$ and $H(1,t) = \mu(t)$. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 4.7. Since the sequence Φ_i converges in $(C^0+L^\infty)-$topology to the loop ξ, we derive that the sequence of time one maps $\Phi_i(1)$ converges uniformly to the constant map identity. In view of Hodge's decomposition theorem of symplectic isotopies, it is known that for each i, the diffeomorphism $\Phi_i(1)$ decomposes as $\Phi_i(1) = \rho_i \circ \varrho_i$ where ρ_i is a harmonic diffeomorphism and ϱ_i a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism. Hence, the bi-invariance of the metric $\bar{d}$ suggests that

$$d_{C^0}(\rho_i^{-1}(1), \varrho_i(1)) = d_{C^0}(\Phi_i(1), id) \to 0, i \to \infty,$$

i.e. the sequences $(\rho_i^{-1}(1))$ and $(\varrho_i(1))$ of time-one maps converges uniformly to the same limit ρ. But, a result found in [34] (Lemma 5.1, [34]) shows that the sequence (ρ_i) always converges in $\bar{d}$ to a continuous path (ρ_t) in $Symp_0(M,\omega)$. Thus, it follows from the above statements that the sequence $(\varrho_i(1))$ converges uniformly to the symplectic diffeomorphism ρ_1^{-1}. That is, the sequence $(\Phi_i(1))$ converges uniformly to the diffeomorphism $\rho \circ \rho^{-1} = id$. Hence, we derivative from the celebrated rigidity result dues to Eliashberg [16] that we can find a large integer i_0 so that for $\forall i{>}i_0$, the diffeomorphism $\Phi_i(1)$ lies in a small C^∞ neighborhood $\mathcal{W}(i,id)$ of the constant map identity in $Symp_0(M,\omega)$ with the following property: for all $i,j{>}i_0$ such that $j{>}i$, we have

$$\mathcal{W}(j,id) \subset \mathcal{W}(i,id).$$

But, in view of a result that was proved by Weinstein [39], the group $Symp_0(M,\omega)$ is locally contractible, and then locally connected by smooth arcs with respect to the C^∞ compact-open topology. So, for all $i{>}i_0$, each $\Phi_i(1)$ can be connected to the identity through a smooth symplectic isotopy β_i with the following properties :

- for each t, $\beta_i^t \in \mathcal{W}(i,id)$,
- the L^∞ Banyaga's Hofer-like length of the isotopy β_i is less or equal to the L^∞ Banyaga's Hofer-like length of any other symplectic isotopy that connects $\beta_i^1 = \Phi_i(1)$ to the identity.

The latter process generates automatically a sequence (β_i) of small symplectic isotopies which Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$ since $l^\infty(\beta_i) \to 0, i \to \infty$. Now, set $\Psi_i = \Phi_i \circ \beta_i^{-1}$ for all $i{>}i_0$. This defines a sequence loops at the identity which is Cauchy in $\|.\|^\infty$. The latter sequence converges in $\bar{d}$ to ξ. This achieves the proof.□

5.3 Strong Calabi's invariant

The formula of mass flow for strong symplectic isotopies suggests that we can define a C^0- flux geometry for strong symplectic homeomorphisms so that Fathi's Poincaré duality theorem continues to hold (at least when M is of type Lefschetz). Notice that M is of type Lefschetz, if the mapping

$$\cup^\omega : H^1(M,\mathbb{R}) \to H^{2n-1}(M,\mathbb{R}), [\alpha] \longmapsto [\alpha] \wedge [\omega^{n-1}],$$

is an isomorphism. For this purpose, consider the following mapping,

$$\widetilde{Cal_0} : \mathcal{P}SSympeo(M,\omega) \to H^1(M,\mathbb{R}),$$

$$\xi_{(F,\theta)} \mapsto \int_0^1 [\theta_t]dt.$$

The map $\widetilde{Cal_0}$ is a well-defined, continuous and surjective because of the one-to-one correspondence between the space of ssympeotopies and that of their generators. Furthermore, the way that the group structure on $GSSympeo(M,\omega,g)$ is defined suggests that the map $\widetilde{Cal_0}$ is a group homomorphism. In the rest of this work, we will sometimes use the terminology "strong flux" to mean the mapping $\widetilde{Cal_0}$.

5.3.1 Homotopic invariance of strong flux

We equip the group of all strong symplectic isotopies with the equivalent relation $\sim$ defined as follows:

Let $\xi_{(F_i,\theta_i)}$, $i = 1, 2$ be two strong symplectic isotopies. We shall write

$$\xi_{(F_2,\theta_2)} \sim \xi_{(F_2,\theta_2)},$$

if and only if $\xi_{(F_1,\theta_1)}$ is homotopic relatively with fixed extremities to $\xi_{(F_2,\theta_2)}$.

We shall write $\{\xi_{(F,\theta)}\}$ to represent the equivalent class of the ssympeotopy $\xi_{(F,\theta)}$ with respect to the equivalent relation $\sim$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}SSympeo}(M,\omega)$ denotes the quotient space of $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M,\omega)$ with respect to the equivalent relation $\sim$. If $SSympeo(M,\omega)$ is locally path connected, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}SSympeo}(M,\omega)$ is the universal cover of $SSympeo(M,\omega)$.

Proposition 5.2. *The map $\widetilde{Cal_0}$ does not depend on the choice of a representative in the equivalent class of any strong symplectic isotopy, provided M is Lefschetz.*

Proof of Proposition 5.2. Let $\xi_{(F_i,\theta_i)}$, $i = 1, 2$ be two strong symplectic isotopies such that $\xi_{(F_2,\theta_2)} \sim \xi_{(F_2,\theta_2)}$. set

$$\xi_{(F_2,\theta_2)} = \lim_{C^0+L^\infty}(\phi_{(F_2^i,\theta_2^i)}),$$

and

$$\xi_{(F_1,\theta_1)} = \lim_{C^0+L^\infty}(\phi_{(F_1^i,\theta_1^i)}).$$

This is equivalent to say that $\xi_{(F_2,\theta_2)} \circ \xi^{-1}_{(F_1,\theta_1)}$ is homotopic relatively with fixed endpoints to the constant path identity. Therefore, the Fathi's mass flow of the loop $\xi_{(F_2,\theta_2)} \circ \xi^{-1}_{(F_1,\theta_1)}$ is trivial. But it is not difficult to see that the mass flow of $\xi_{(F_2,\theta_2)} \circ \xi^{-1}_{(F_1,\theta_1)}$ reduce to that of $\xi_{(0,\theta_2-\theta_1)}$. That is, the mass flow of $\xi_{(0,\theta_2-\theta_1)}$ is trivial. Since the Fathi's mass flow is continuous with respect to the C^0 topology, we derive that for all i sufficiently large, the mass flow of the harmonic isotopy $\phi_{(0,\theta_2^i-\theta_1^i)}$ is arbitrarily small since the sequence $(\phi_{(0,\theta_2^i-\theta_1^i)})_i$ converges uniformly

to $\xi_{(0,\theta_2-\theta_1)}$ (see [34]). Thus, we derive from Fathi's duality Theorem together with the Lefschetz' property of M that we have, $\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_0^1[\theta_2^i(t)-\theta_1^i(t)]dt$, is arbitrarily small. Therefore,

$$|[\int_0^1\theta_1^t dt-\int_0^1\theta_2^t dt]|\leq\int_0^1|(\theta_1^t-\theta_2^t)-(\theta_1^i(t)-\theta_2^i(t))|dt+|\int_0^1[\theta_2^i(t)-\theta_1^i(t)]dt|,$$

for all i. In particular, when i tends to infinity, we get $|[\int_0^1\theta_1^t dt-\int_0^1\theta_2^t dt]|\leq 0$, i.e.

$$[\int_0^1\theta_1^t dt]=[\int_0^1\theta_2^t dt].$$

This completes the proof. □

5.3.2 Fundamental group of $SSympeo(M,\omega)$

As far as i know, it is proved nowhere whether any continuous path in $SSympeo(M,\omega)$ is a strong symplectic isotopy or not. So, we cannot identify the set of all homotopic classes in $SSympeo(M,\omega)$ generated by the loops at the the identity to be the fundamental group of $SSympeo(M,\omega)$. In regard of this fact, in this work, we write $\pi_1(SSympeo(M,\omega))$ to represent the set of all the equivalent classes with respect to the equivalent relation $\sim$, whose all the representatives are loops at the identity. Therefore, we define a topological flux group as follows. Set

$$\Gamma_0=\widetilde{Cal_0}(\pi_1(SSympeo(M,\omega))).$$

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *Let (M,ω) be any closed symplectic manifold. Then the group Γ_0 coincides with the usual flux group Γ.*

Proof of Theorem 5.3. The inclusion $\Gamma\subset\Gamma_0$ is obvious. To achieve the proof, it remains to prove that $\Gamma_0\subset\Gamma$. For this purpose, let $[\theta]\in\Gamma_0$, by definition there exists $\{\xi\}\in\pi_1(SSympeo(M,\omega))$ such that $[\theta]=\widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\xi\})$. On the other hand, there exists a sequence (Φ_i) of symplectic isotopies such that $\xi=\lim_{C^0+L^\infty}((\Phi_i))$. Since ξ is a loop at the identity, by Theorem 4.7 the sequence (Φ_i) can be deformed into a sequence of symplectic isotopies (Ψ_i) such that $\Psi_i(1)=id$ for all i, and $\xi=\lim_{C^0+L^\infty}((\Psi_i))$. More precisely, it follows from Theorem 4.7 that for each i, the isotopy Ψ_i is of the form $\zeta_i\circ\beta_i$ where (ζ_i) is some subsequence of (Φ_i), and

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}Flux_\omega(\beta_i)=0.$$

Now, set $[\theta_i]=\widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\Psi_i\})$ for all i, and derive that for each i, the element $[\theta_i]$ belongs to Γ. In this way, we define a sequence $([\theta_i])_i$ of elements in Γ. The sequence $(\Psi_i\circ\xi^{-1})$ of strong symplectic isotopies converges in $GSSympeo(M,\omega,g)$ to the identity. Then, we derive from the continuity of the map $\widetilde{Cal_0}$ that

$$\lim_i|\widetilde{Cal_0}(\Psi_i\circ\xi^{-1})|=0,$$

i.e.

$$\lim_i |[\theta_i] - [\theta]| \leq \lim_i |[\theta_i] - [\theta] - \widetilde{Cal_0}(\beta_i)| + \lim_i |\widetilde{Cal_0}(\beta_i)| \to 0, i \to \infty,$$

since $\widetilde{Cal_0}(\Psi_i \circ \xi^{-1}) = [\theta_i] - [\theta] - \widetilde{Cal_0}(\beta_i)$, and $\widetilde{Cal_0}(\beta_i) = Flux_\omega(\beta_i)$. But, according to Ono [32], the flux group Γ is discrete, i.e. $[\theta] \in \Gamma$. Finally one concludes that the equality $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$ holds true. This completes the proof. □

Remark 5.4. Theorem 5.3 can be viewed as a rigidity result since it suggests that the flux group Γ stays invariant under the perturbation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(Symp_0(M,\omega))$ by the $(C^0 + L^\infty)-$topology at least when M is Lefschetz.

The homomorphism $\widetilde{Cal_0}$ induces a surjective group homomorphism Cal_0 from $SSympeo(M,\omega)$ onto $H^1(M,\mathbb{R})/\Gamma$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{lll} \widetilde{\mathcal{PSSympeo}}(M,\omega) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{Cal_0}} & H^1(M,\mathbb{R}) \\ \tilde{ev} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2 \qquad (II) \\ SSympeo(M,\omega) & \xrightarrow{Cal_0} & H^1(M,\mathbb{R})/\Gamma. \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{ev}$ and π_2 are projection mappings. Denote by $\widetilde{Hameo(M,\omega)}$ the subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{PSSympeo}}(M,\omega)$ which is mapped onto the group $Hameo(M,\omega)$, under $\tilde{ev}$. Let $\widetilde{Ham(M,\omega)}$ be the universal covering of the space $Ham(M,\omega)$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let (M,ω) be a closed symplectic manifold. Then,*

$$\{\xi\} \in \widetilde{Hameo(M,\omega)} \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\xi\}) = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 5.5. Assume that $\{\xi\} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{PSSympeo}}(M,\omega)$ such that $\{\xi\} \in \widetilde{Hameo(M,\omega)}$. Since $h = \xi(1) \in Hameo(M,\omega)$, then $\{\xi\}$ admits a representative λ which is a continuous Hamiltonian flow. Thus,

$$\widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\xi\}) = \widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\lambda\}) = 0.$$

For the converse, assume that $\{\xi\} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{PSSympeo}}(M,\omega)$ such that

$$\widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\xi\}) = 0.$$

By Fathi's Poincaré duality theorem (equation (5.2)), the Fathi mass flow of ξ vanishes. Then, we derive from Theorem 4.6 that ξ is homotopic to a continuous Hamiltonian flow μ relatively to fixed extremities, and the statement follows.□

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\{\phi_t\} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{PSSympeo}}(M,\omega)$. Then ϕ_1 lies in $Hameo(M,\omega)$ if and only if $\widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\phi_t\})$ lies in Γ.*

Proof of Theorem 5.6. Assume that $\{\phi_t\} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}SSympeo}(M,\omega)$ such that $\phi = \phi_1 \in Hameo(M,\omega)$. One can lift any continuous Hamiltonian flow ψ_t from the identity to $\psi_1 = \phi$ onto an element $\{\psi_t\} \in \widetilde{Hameo}(M,\omega)$. The strong symplectic isotopy $t \mapsto \psi_t^{-1} \circ \phi_t$ is obviously a loop at the identity, i.e. $\{\psi_t^{-1} \circ \phi_t\} \in \pi_1(SSympeo(M,\omega))$. Compute,

$$\widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\psi_t^{-1} \circ \phi_t\}) = \widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\phi_t\}) + \widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\psi_t^{-1}\}).$$

But, we also have $\widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\psi_t^{-1}\}) = 0$, i.e.

$$\widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\phi_t\}) = \widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\psi_t^{-1} \circ \phi_t\}) \in \Gamma.$$

For the converse, let $\{\phi_t\} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}SSympeo}(M,\omega)$ such that $\widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\phi_t\})$ lies in Γ. Since the map $\widetilde{Cal_0}$ is surjective, there exists an element $\{\mathbb{X}_t\} \in \pi_1(SSympeo(M,\omega))$ such that

$$\widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\phi_t\}) = \widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\mathbb{X}_t\}),$$

i.e. $\widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\phi_t \circ \mathbb{X}_t^{-1}\}) = 0$. Therefore, we derive from Theorem 5.5 that $\{\phi_t \circ \mathbb{X}_t^{-1}\}$ admits a representative which is a continuous Hamiltonian flow, i.e. $\phi_1 = \phi_1 \circ \mathbb{X}_1^{-1} \in Hameo(M,\omega)$. This completes the proof. □

5.4 On the kernel of Cal_0

Note that a strong symplectic homeomorphism h belongs to the kernel of the mapping Cal_0 if one can find a strong symplectic isotopy $\xi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ with $\xi^1_{(U,\mathcal{H})} = h$, and

$$\widetilde{Cal_0}(\xi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}) = [\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}_s ds] = 0.$$

So, if $(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)})$ is any sequence of symplectic isotopies such that

$$\xi_{(U,\mathcal{H})} = \lim_{C^0+L^\infty} (\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}),$$

then one deduces from the nullity of the integral $\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}_s ds$ that for i sufficiently large the flux of the isotopy $\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}$ is sufficiently small. Therefore we derive from Banyaga [2, 3] that the time one map $\phi^1_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}$ is Hamiltonian for i sufficiently large.

Theorem 5.7. *(Topological Banyaga's theorem III) The kernel of the mapping Cal_0 is path connected.*

Proof of Theorem 5.7. Let h be a strong symplectic homeomorphism that belongs to $\ker Cal_0$. That is, there exists a strong symplectic isotopy $\xi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ such that $\xi^1_{(U,\mathcal{H})} = h$, and $\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}_s ds = 0$.

- Step (1). Let $\rho_t \circ \psi_t$ be the Hodge decomposition of $\xi_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ (see [34]), and let $(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)})$ be any sequence of symplectic isotopies such that

$$\xi_{(U,\mathcal{H})} = \lim_{C^0+L^\infty} (\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}).$$

Then, one deduces from the nullity of the integral $\int_0^1 \mathcal{H}_s ds$ that for i sufficiently large the flux of $\phi_{(0,\mathcal{H}^i)}$ is sufficiently small, and therefore it follows from Banyaga [2, 3] that the time one map $\phi^1_{(0,\mathcal{H}^i)}$ is Hamiltonian for i sufficiently large.

- Step (2). Following Banyaga [2, 3] we deduce the following fact. Set

$$\theta_i^t = \phi^t_{(0,\mathcal{H}^i)},$$

for each i, and for all t. Observe that for each fixed t, the isotopy $s \mapsto h^i_{(s,t)} = \theta_i^{st}$ connects θ_i^t to the identity. Now, for each i, consider the smooth family of harmonic vectors fields defined as follows :

$$X^i_{(s,t)} = \frac{d}{dt} h^i_{(s,t)} \circ (h^i_{(s,t)})^{-1},$$

and set

$$\alpha_t^i = \int_0^1 i_{X^i_{(s,t)}} \omega ds = \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}^i_{st} ds.$$

It follows from the above that the vector field Y_t^i defined as follows

$$i_{Y_t^i}\omega = \alpha_t^i - t\alpha_1^i$$

is harmonic for each i, and therefore, the following $1-$form

$$\int_0^1 i_{(X^i_{(s,t)} - Y_t^i)} \omega ds = t \int_0^1 \mathcal{H}^i_u du$$

is harmonic. For each i, let $G^i_{(s,t)}$ be the 2-parameter family of symplectic diffeomorphisms defined by integrating (in s) the family of harmonic vector fields

$$Z^i_{(s,t)} = X^i_{(s,t)} - Y_t^i.$$

Then, Lemma 4.15 suggests that for each fixed t, the sequence of family of symplectic vector fields $(Z^i_{(s,t)})_s$ converges in $\|.\|^\infty$, and the sequence $(G^i_{(s,t)})_s$ of its generating paths converges uniformly. The latter limit denoted by $(G_{(s,t)})_s$ is then obviously a strong symplectic isotopy, and the map $(s,t) \mapsto G_{(s,t)}$ is continuous.

- Step (3). Since by assumption, the quantity $\int_0^1 i_{(X^i_{(s,t)} - Y_t^i)} \omega ds$ is small for all i sufficiently large, it follows from Banyaga [2, 3] that the isotopy $t \mapsto G^i_{(1,t)}$ is Hamiltonian for all sufficiently large i. That is, the limit $t \mapsto G_{(1,t)}$ is a continuous Hamiltonian flow, i.e. a continuous path in $\ker Cal_0$. For instance, we compute $G_{(1,1)} = \lim_{C^0}(\theta_i^1) = \rho_1$, and deduce that the mapping $t \mapsto G_{(1,t)} \circ \psi_t$ is a continuous path in $\ker Cal_0$ that connects $G_{(1,1)} \circ \psi_1 = h$ to the identity. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 5.8. *Any strong symplectic isotopy in* $\ker Cal_0$ *is a continuous Hamiltonian flow.*

Proof of Theorem 5.8. We will adapt a proof given in Banyaga [2, 3] into our case.

- Step (1). Let $\sigma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ be a strong symplectic isotopy in $\ker Cal_0$, and let $(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)})$ be any sequence of symplectic isotopies such that

$$\sigma_{(U,\mathcal{H})} = \lim_{C^0+L^\infty}(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}).$$

Since $\pi_1(SSympeo(M,\omega))$ acts on $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}SSympeo}(M,\omega)$, we denote by $\pi_1(SSympeo(M,\omega)).\ker\widetilde{Cal_0}$ the set of all the orbits of the points of $\ker\widetilde{Cal_0}$. It is clear that the mapping

$$\tilde{ev} : \widetilde{\mathcal{P}SSympeo}(M,\omega) \to SSympeo(M,\omega),$$

is the covering map. Then we have

$$\tilde{ev}^{-1}(\ker Cal_0) = \pi_1(SSympeo(M,\omega)).\ker\widetilde{Cal_0}.$$

Let $\widetilde{\sigma}$ be the lifting of the path $\sigma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ such that $\widetilde{\sigma}(0) = id_M$. By assumption, we have

$$\widetilde{Cal_0}(\widetilde{\sigma}(t)) \in \Gamma,$$

for all t. A verbatim repetition of some arguments from Banyaga [2, 3] which are supported by the discreteness of the flux group Γ leads to

$$\widetilde{\sigma}(t) \in \ker\widetilde{Cal_0},$$

for all t. We then derive from the lines of the proof of Theorem 5.7 that for each t, the homotopy class $\widetilde{\sigma}(t)$ admits a representative $s \mapsto G_{s,t}$ which is a continuous Hamiltonian flow, i.e. a continuous path in $\ker Cal_0$, and the map $(s,t) \mapsto G_{s,t}$ is continuous. For all fixed t, the following map :

$$(u,s) \mapsto G_{(u(s-1)+1),((u-1)s+u)t}$$

induces a homotopy between $s \mapsto \sigma^{(t.s)}_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ and $s \mapsto G_{s,t}$.

- Step (2). It follows from step (1) that

$$\widetilde{Cal_0}(\sigma(t.s)) = \int_0^t \mathcal{H}_u du = 0,$$

for all t. On the other hand, since

$$\sigma_{(U,\mathcal{H})} = \lim_{C^0+L^\infty}(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}),$$

we derive that the strong symplectic isotopy

$$\Psi_t : s \mapsto \sigma^{(s.t)}_{(U,\mathcal{H})},$$

is the $(C^0+L^\infty)-$limit of the sequence of symplectic isotopies

$$\Psi^i_t : s \mapsto \phi^{(s.t)}_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}.$$

For each t, the symplectic isotopy $\Psi_t^i : s \mapsto \phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}^{(s.t)}$ is generated by $(V_t^i, \mathcal{K}_t^i)$ where $V_t^i(s) = tU_{(st)}^i$, and $\mathcal{K}_t(s)^i = t\mathcal{H}_{(st)}^i$ for all s. Therefore, the fact that

$$\widetilde{Cal_0}(\sigma(t.s)) = 0,$$

for all t suggests that the flux of the isotopy Ψ_t^i tends to zero when i goes to the infinity, i.e. $\int_0^t \mathcal{H}_u^i du \to 0, i \to \infty$ for all t. That is,

$$\frac{1}{h}(\int_0^{t+h} \mathcal{H}_u^i du - \int_0^t \mathcal{H}_u^i du) \to 0, i \to \infty,$$

for all h smaller and positive such that $(h+t) \in [0,1]$, i.e.

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}(\lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{1}{h}\int_t^{t+h} \mathcal{H}_u^i du) = \lim_{i\to\infty}(\mathcal{H}_t^i) = 0,$$

for all $t \in [0,1[$, and since for each i the function $t \mapsto \mathcal{H}_t^i$ is continuous, we derive that $\lim_{i\to\infty}(\mathcal{H}_t^i) = 0$, for all $t \in [0,1]$. This implies that $\sup_t |\mathcal{H}_t^i| \to 0, i \to \infty$, i.e.

$$\sup_t |\mathcal{H}_t| \leq \sup_t |\mathcal{H}_t^i - \mathcal{H}_t| + \sup_t |\mathcal{H}_t^i| \to 0, i \to \infty.$$

Finally, we see that the harmonic part $\mathcal{H}$ of the generator of the $(U, \mathcal{H})$ is trivial, i.e. $\sigma_{(U,\mathcal{H})} = \sigma_{(U,0)}$. That is, the strong symplectic isotopy $\sigma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ is in fact a continuous Hamiltonian flow. □

Proposition 5.9. *$Hameo(M,\omega)$ coincides with $\ker Cal_0$.*

Proof of Proposition 5.9. According to Oh-Müller [31], any Hamiltonian homeomorphism h can be connected to the identity trough a continuous Hamiltonian flow ξ, we then derive that $\widetilde{Cal_0}(\{\xi\}) = 0$, which implies $Cal_0(h) = 0$. The converse inclusion follows from Theorem 5.6. In fact, $h \in \ker Cal_0$ implies that for any equivalent class such that $\xi(1) = h$, we have $\pi_2(\widetilde{Cal_0}(\xi)) = 0$, i.e. $\widetilde{Cal_0}(\xi) \in \Gamma$. This is equivalent to say that $h \in Hameo(M,\omega)$. □

Theorem 5.10. *(Topological Banyaga's theorem I) Let (M,ω) be any closed symplectic manifold. Then, any strong symplectic isotopy in the group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms is a continuous Hamiltonian flow.*

Proof. The proof of Theorem 5.10 is a verbatim repetition of the proof of Theorem 5.8. □

Theorem 5.11. *(Topological Banyaga's theorem II) Let (M,ω) be any closed symplectic manifold. Then, the group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms is path connected, and locally connected.*

Note that Oh-Müller [31] proved that the group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms is locally path connected, then locally connected. But, in the present paper we give a different proof.

Proof of Theorem 5.11. The result of Theorem 5.7 states that $Hameo(M,\omega) = \ker Cal_0$, is path connected, while the result of Theorem 5.3 implies that $\Gamma = \widetilde{Cal_0}(\pi_1(SSympeo(M,\omega))$ is discrete. Thus, $Hameo(M,\omega) = \ker Cal_0$ is locally connected .□

Theorem 5.12. *(Weak topological Weinstein's theorem) Let (M,ω) be a closed symplectic manifold. Then, the group of strong symplectic homeomorphisms is locally path connected.*

Proof. Since $SSympeo(M,\omega)$ is a topological group, the latter is a homogeneous space, i.e. for all $p,q \in SSympeo(M,\omega)$, there exists a homeomorphism Φ that maps p onto q, i.e. $\Phi(p)=q$. So, it suffices just to check a local property at a point (namely, at the identity) to prove it on the entire group. But, the result of Theorem 5.8 states that any strong symplectic isotopy in $Hameo(M,\omega)$ is a continuous Hamiltonian flow, while a result dues to Oh-Müller [31] states that $Hameo(M,\omega)$ is locally path connected. Therefore, one derives from the above statements that for every open neighborhood $V \subseteq SSympeo(M,\omega)$ of the constant map identity, there exists a path connected open set $U \subset Hameo(M,\omega)$ with $id \in U \subset V$. Now, let $p \in SSympeo(M,\omega)$, and let $V_p \subseteq SSympeo(M,\omega)$ be an arbitrary neighborhood of p. There exists a homeomorphism Φ that sends the identity onto p, i.e. $\Phi(id)=p$. So, Φ displaces some neighborhood V_0 of the constant map identity (with $V_0 \subset U$) onto a neighborhood U_0 of p. But, according to Oh-Müller [31], V_0 contains a path connected neighborhood of the identity, and the latter is displaced by Φ onto a path connected neighborhood of p denoted by O_p with $O_p \subset U_0$. That is, $O_p \cap V_p$ is a path connected neighborhood of p which is contained in V_p. This completes the proof. □

6 C^0-Banyaga's Hofer-like norm

Actually, we focus on the construction of a C^0-Hofer-like norm for strong symplectic homeomorphisms. In fact the following results points out the existence and some studies of the C^0-analogue of the well-known Banyaga's Hofer-like norm found in [4]. We recall that the symplectic topology on $SSympeo(M,\omega)$ is defined to be the strongest topology on it which makes the map

$$ev : \mathcal{P}SSympeo(M,\omega) \to SSympeo(M,\omega),$$

$$\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})} \mapsto \gamma^1_{(U,\mathcal{H})},$$

becomes a surjective homomorphism in the category of topological groups with respect to the $(C^0+L^\infty)-$topology on the space $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M,\omega)$.

Definition 6.1. For any strong symplectic isotopy $\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$, we define its length by

$$l(\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}) = \frac{l_\infty(\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}) + l_\infty(\gamma^{-1}_{(U,\mathcal{H})})}{2},$$

where $l_\infty(\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}) = \max_t(osc(U_t)+|\mathcal{H}_t|)$.

Definition 6.2. For any $h \in SSympeo(M,\omega)$, we define the Hofer-like norm of h by

$$\|h\|_{SHL} =: \inf\{l(\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})})\}, \tag{6.1}$$

where the infimum is taken over all strong symplectic isotopies $\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ with $\gamma^1_{(U,\mathcal{H})} = h$.

The above definitions make sense because of the uniqueness results (Theorem 4.13 and Theorem 1.3). This agrees with the usual definition of Hofer-like length of symplectic isotopies [4].

Theorem 6.3. *(Topological Banyaga's norm) Let (M,ω) be any closed symplectic manifold. Then, the mapping*

$$\|.\|_{SHL} : \mathfrak{SS}ympeo(M,\omega) \to \mathbb{R},$$

is continuous.

Proof. We will process step by step.

- Step (1). Positivity is obvious. In the way that the symplectic topologies has been defined on the spaces $SSympeo(M,\omega)$ and $GSSympeo(M,\omega,g)$ (see [8]), the map
$$\mathfrak{SS}ympeo(M,\omega) \to \mathbb{R},$$
$$h \mapsto \|h\|_{SHL},$$
is continuous if and only if
$$\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M,\omega) \xrightarrow{ev} \mathfrak{SS}ympeo(M,\omega) \xrightarrow{\|.\|_{SHL}} \mathbb{R},$$
is continuous with respect to the symplectic topology on the space $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M,\omega)$. Then, the continuity of the map
$$\mathfrak{SS}ympeo(M,\omega) \to \mathbb{R},$$
$$h \mapsto \|h\|_{SHL},$$
is proved as follows. Let $\gamma_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)}$ be a sequence of elements of $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M,\omega)$ that converges to $\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})} \in \mathcal{P}SSympeo(M,\omega)$ with respect to the $(C^0 + L^\infty)-$topology. We always have:
$$\begin{aligned}
2|\|\gamma(1)\|_{SHL} - \|\gamma_k(1)\|_{SHL}| &\leq 2\|(\gamma^{-1}_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)} \circ \gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})})(1)\|_{SHL} \\
&\leq 2l[\gamma^{-1}_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)} \circ \gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}], \\
&\leq \max_{t\in[0,1]}\{osc(U^t_k \circ \gamma_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)} - U^t \circ \gamma_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)}) + |\mathcal{H}^t - \mathcal{H}^t_k|\} \\
&\quad + \max_{t\in[0,1]} osc(\Delta^0_t(\mathcal{H}_k, \gamma_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)}) - \Delta^0_t(\mathcal{H}, \gamma_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)})) \\
&\quad + \max_{t\in[0,1]}\{osc(U^t_k \circ \gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})} - U^t \circ \gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}) + |\mathcal{H}^t - \mathcal{H}^t_k|\} \\
&\quad + \max_{t\in[0,1]} osc(\Delta^0_t(\mathcal{H}, \gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}) - \Delta^0_t(\mathcal{H}_k, \gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})})).
\end{aligned}$$
- Step (2). By assumption the sequence $\gamma_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)}$ converges to $\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ with respect to the $(C^0 + L^\infty)-$topology, as well as the sequence $\gamma^{-1}_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)}$ converges to $\gamma^{-1}_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ with respect to the $(C^0+L^\infty)-$topology since $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M,\omega)$

is a topological group with respect to the symplectic topology. Therefore we derive from the above statement that

$$\max_{t\in[0,1]}\{osc(U_k^t\circ\gamma_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)}-U^t\circ\gamma_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)})+|\mathcal{H}^t-\mathcal{H}_k^t|\}=\max_{t\in[0,1]}\{osc(U_k^t-U^t)+|\mathcal{H}^t-\mathcal{H}_k^t|\},$$

$$\max_{t\in[0,1]}\{osc(U_k^t\circ\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}-U^t\circ\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})})+|\mathcal{H}^t-\mathcal{H}_k^t|\}=\max_{t\in[0,1]}\{osc(U_k^t-U^t)+|\mathcal{H}^t-\mathcal{H}_k^t|\},$$

where the right-hand side of the above estimates tends to zero when i goes at infinity.

- Step (3). Next, observe that

$$\max_{t\in[0,1]} osc(\Delta_t^0(\mathcal{H},\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})})-\Delta_t^0(\mathcal{H}_k,\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}))=\max_{t\in[0,1]} osc(\Delta_t^0(\mathcal{H}_k-\mathcal{H},\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}),$$

$$\max_{t\in[0,1]} osc(\Delta_t^0(\mathcal{H}_k,\gamma_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)})-\Delta_t^0(\mathcal{H},\gamma_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)}))=\max_{t\in[0,1]} osc(\Delta_t^0(\mathcal{H}_k-\mathcal{H},\gamma_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)}).$$

On the other hand, by definition of $\mathcal{P}SSympeo(M,\omega)$, for any fixed integer k, there exists a sequence of symplectic isotopies $(\phi_{(V^{k,j},\mathcal{K}^{k,j})})$ such that

$$\gamma_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)}=\lim_{C^0+L^\infty}((\phi_{(V^{k,j},\mathcal{K}^{k,j})}).$$

Similarly, there exists a sequence of symplectic isotopies $(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)})$ which does not depend on k such that

$$\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}=\lim_{C^0+L^\infty}(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}).$$

We compute,

$$\Delta^0(\mathcal{H}_k-\mathcal{H},\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})})=\lim_{L^\infty}\{\int_0^t(\mathcal{K}_t^{k,j}-\mathcal{H}_t^i)(\dot{\phi}^s_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)})\circ\phi^s_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)}ds\},$$

$$\Delta^0(\mathcal{H}-\mathcal{H}_k,\gamma_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)})=\lim_{L^\infty}\{\int_0^t(\mathcal{H}_t^i-\mathcal{K}_t^{k,j})(\dot{\phi}^s_{(V^{k,j},\mathcal{K}^{k,j})})\circ\phi^s_{(V^{k,j},\mathcal{K}^{k,j})}ds\}.$$

(see [9] for more convenience).

- Step (4). Since both sequences $(\phi_{(U^i,\mathcal{H}^i)})_i$ and $(\phi_{(V^{k,j},\mathcal{K}^{k,j})})_j$ are Cauchy in $\bar{d}$, and we have

$$\max_{t\in[0,1]}|\mathcal{H}_t^j-\mathcal{K}_t^{k,j}|\to 0,$$

when $j>k$ and $k\to\infty$; we derive from [8] (Lemma 3.4, [8]) that,

$$\max_{t\in[0,1]} osc(\Delta_t^0(\mathcal{H}_k-\mathcal{H},\gamma_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)})\to 0, k\to\infty,$$

$$\max_{t\in[0,1]} osc(\Delta_t^0(\mathcal{H}-\mathcal{H}_k,\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})})\to 0, k\to\infty.$$

- Step (5). Finally, the results of steps (2) and (4) show that

$$l[\gamma^{-1}_{(U_k,\mathcal{H}_k)}\circ\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}]\to 0, k\to\infty.$$

This completes the proof. □

Here, we point out some properties of the mapping $\|.\|_{SHL}$.

Theorem 6.4. *Let (M,ω) be any closed symplectic manifold. Given two strong symplectic homeomorphisms h, and f we have :*

1. $\|h\|_{SHL}=0$ *if* $h=id$,
2. $\|h\|_{SHL}=\|h^{-1}\|_{SHL}$,
3. $\|h\circ f\|_{SHL}\leq\|h\|_{SHL}+\|f\|_{SHL}$.

Proof. The item (2) follows from the definition of the map $\|,\|_{SHL}$. For (1), we adapt the proof of the nondegeneracy of the Hofer norm for Hamiltonian homeomorphism given by Oh [30]. We will proceed step by step.

- Step (a). Suppose that $h\neq id$. Then h displaces a small nonempty compact ball B of positive symplectic displacement energy $e_S(B)>0$. For such a ball B, we set $\delta=e_S(B)>0$. From characterization of the infimum, one can find a strong symplectic isotopy $\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ with $\gamma^1_{(U,\mathcal{H})}=h$, such that $\|h\|_{SHL}>l(\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})})-\dfrac{\delta}{4}$.
- Step (b). On the other hand, it follows from the definition of the topological group $GSSympeo(M,\omega,g)$ that there exists a sequence $(\phi_{(F_i,\lambda_i)})$ that converges to $\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ with respect to the $(C^0+L^\infty)-$topology. So, we can find an integer i_0 with $(\phi_{(F_{i_0},\lambda_{i_0})})$ is sufficiently close to $\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ [resp. $(\phi^{-1}_{(F_{i_0},\lambda_{i_0})})$ sufficiently close to $\gamma^{-1}_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$] with respect to the $(C^0+L^\infty)-$topology so that
$$l(\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})})>l(\phi_{(F_{i_0},\lambda_{i_0})})-\frac{\delta}{4},$$
where $\phi=\phi^1_{(F_{i_0},\lambda_{i_0})}$ displaces B.
- Step (c). It follows from the definition of Banyaga's Hofer-like norm $\|,\|_{HL}$ that
$$l(\phi_{(F_{i_0},\lambda_{i_0})})-\frac{2\delta}{4}\geq\|\phi\|_{HL}-\frac{\delta}{2},$$
and by definition of the symplectic displacement energy e_S, we have
$$\|\phi\|_{HL}-\frac{\delta}{2}\geq\delta-\frac{\delta}{2}=\frac{\delta}{2}>0.$$
- Step (d). The statements of steps (a), (b) and (c) imply that
$$\|h\|_{SHL}>l(\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})})-\frac{\delta}{4}>l(\phi_{(F_{i_0},\lambda_{i_0})})-\frac{2\delta}{4}\geq\|\phi\|_{HL}-\frac{\delta}{2}>0.$$
The item (3) follows from the continuity of the map $\|,\|_{SHL}$ closely the proof triangle inequality of Banyaga's Hofer-like norm. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 6.4 and Theorem 6.3 are similar to some results that was proved by Oh [30] for Hamiltonian homeomorphisms. In particular, if the manifold M is simply connected, then the norm $\|.\|_{SHL}$ reduces to the norm $\|.\|_{Oh}$ constructed by Oh on the space of all Hamiltonian homeomorphisms [31, 30]. Otherwise, when $H^1(M,\mathbb{R}) \neq 0$, it follows from the decomposition theorem of strong symplectic homeomorphisms that the group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms is strictly contained in the group of strong symplectic homeomorphisms (see [34]). So, it is important to know whether the norm $\|.\|_{SHL}$ is an extension of Oh's norm or not. This leads to the following result.

Theorem 6.5. *(Topological Banyaga's conjecture) The norm $\|.\|_{SHL}$ restricted to the group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms is equivalent to Oh's norm.*

This result is motivated in party by a conjecture from Banyaga [4] that was proved by Buss-Leclercq [12]. The latter conjecture stated that the restriction of Banyaga's Hofer-like norm to the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms is equivalent to Hofer's norm.

Proof of Theorem 6.5. By construction, we always have

$$\|.\|_{SHL} \leq \|.\|_{Oh}.$$

To complete the proof, we need to show that there exists a positive finite constant A_0 such that

$$\|.\|_{Oh} \leq A_0.\|.\|_{SHL},$$

or equivalently, via the sequential criterion, it suffices to prove that any sequence of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms converging to the constant map identity for $\|.\|_{SHL}$, converges to the constant map identity for Oh's norm $\|.\|_{Oh}$. The proof that we give here heavily relies the ideas that Oh [30] and Banyaga [4] used in the proof of the nondegeneracy of their norms. Let ψ^i be a sequence of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms that converges to the identity with respect to the norm $\|.\|_{SHL}$. For each i, and any ϵ>0 there exists a strong symplectic isotopy $\psi_{(U^{i,\epsilon},\mathcal{H}^{i,\epsilon})}$ such that $\psi^1_{(U^{i,\epsilon},\mathcal{H}^{i,\epsilon})} = \psi^i$, and $l(\psi_{(U^{i,\epsilon},\mathcal{H}^{i,\epsilon})})<\|\psi^i\|_{SHL} + \epsilon$. On the other hand, for a fixed i, there exists a sequence of symplectic isotopies $(\phi_{(V_{i,j},\mathcal{K}_{i,j})})$ such that

$$\psi_{(U^{i,\epsilon},\mathcal{H}^{i,\epsilon})} = \lim_{C^0+L^\infty}(\phi_{(V_{i,j},\mathcal{K}_{i,j})}).$$

In particular, one can find an integer j_0 with $\phi_{(V_{i,j_0},\mathcal{K}_{i,j_0})}$ sufficiently close to $\psi_{(U^{i,\epsilon},\mathcal{H}^{i,\epsilon})}$ with respect to the $(C^0 + L^\infty)-$topology so that

$$l(\psi_{(U^{i,\epsilon},\mathcal{H}^{i,\epsilon})})>l(\phi_{(V_{i,j_0},\mathcal{K}_{i,j_0})}) - \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

Observe that when i tends to infinity, Banyaga's length of the symplectic isotopy $\phi_{(V_{i,j_0},\mathcal{K}_{i,j_0})}$ can be considered as being sufficiently small. Thus, it comes from Banyaga [4] that the time-one map of such an isotopy is a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism. So, we can assume (without breaking the generality) that $\phi^1_{(V_{i,j_0},\mathcal{K}_{i,j_0})}$ is Hamiltonian for i sufficiently large. Now, we derive from the above statements that

$$l(\psi_{(U^{i,\epsilon},\mathcal{H}^{i,\epsilon})})>\|\phi^1_{(V_{i,j_0},\mathcal{K}_{i,j_0})}\|_{HL} - \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

For i sufficiently large, since the diffeomorphism $\phi^1_{(V_{i,j_0},\mathcal{K}_{i,j_0})}$ is Hamiltonian, it follows from a result from Buss-Leclercq [12] that there exists a positive finite constant D which does not depend on i such that

$$\frac{1}{D}\|\phi^1_{(V_{i,j_0},\mathcal{K}_{i,j_0})}\|_H \leq \|\phi^1_{(V_{i,j_0},\mathcal{K}_{i,j_0})}\|_{SHL},$$

where $\|.\|_H$ represents the Hofer norm of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. The above statements imply that for i sufficiently large,

$$\|\psi^i\|_{SHL} + \epsilon > \frac{1}{D}\|\phi^1_{(V_{i,j_0},\mathcal{K}_{i,j_0})}\|_H - \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

At this level, we use the fact that Oh's norm restricted to the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms is bounded from above by Hofer's norm to get

$$\|\psi^i\|_{SHL} + \epsilon > \frac{1}{D}\|\phi^1_{(V_{i,j_0},\mathcal{K}_{i,j_0})}\|_{Oh} - \frac{\epsilon}{4},$$

for i sufficiently large. Passing to the limit in the latter estimate, one obtains

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\|\psi^i\|_{SHL} + \frac{5\epsilon}{4} \geq \frac{1}{D}\lim_{j_0\geq i, i\to\infty}\|\phi^1_{(V_{i,j_0},\mathcal{K}_{i,j_0})}\|_{Oh} = \frac{1}{D}\lim_{i\to\infty}\|\psi^i\|_{Oh},$$

for all ϵ. Finally, we have proved that for all positive real number δ (replacing ϵ by $\frac{4\delta}{5D}$), we have

$$\delta \geq \lim_{i\to\infty}\|\psi^i\|_{Oh}.$$

This completes the proof.□

7 Topological symplectic displacement energy

Definition 7.1. The strong symplectic displacement energy $e^0_S(B)$ of a non empty compact set $B \subset M$ is :

$$e^0_S(B) = \inf\{\|h\|_{SHL} | h \in SSympeo(M,\omega), h(B)\cap B = \emptyset\}.$$

Lemma 7.2. *For any non empty compact set $B \subset M$, $e^0_S(B)$ is a strict positive number.*

Proof of Lemma 7.2. Let ϵ>0. By definition of $e^0_S(B)$ there exists a strong symplectic isotopy $\psi_{(F^\epsilon,\lambda^\epsilon)}$ such that $\psi^1_{(F^\epsilon,\lambda^\epsilon)} = h$, and $e^0_S(B) > \|h\|_{SHL} - \epsilon$. On the other hand, there exists a sequence of symplectic isotopies $(\phi_{(F_i,\lambda_i)})$ that converges to $\psi_{(F^\epsilon,\lambda^\epsilon)}$ whit respect to the $(C^0 + L^\infty)-$topology so that $\phi^1_{(F_i,\lambda_i)}$ displaces B for i sufficiently large. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that $\|\phi^1_{(F_i,\lambda_i)}\|_{HL} \geq e_S(B)$>0 for i sufficiently large. Since ϵ>0 is arbitrary, the continuity of the map $\|.\|_{SHL}$ imposes that

$$\|h\|_{SHL} - \epsilon \geq \|\phi^1_{(F_i,\lambda_i)}\|_{SHL} = \|\phi^1_{(F_i,\lambda_i)}\|_{HL},$$

for i sufficiently large. Therefore,

$$e^0_S(B) > \|h\|_{SHL} - \epsilon \geq e_S(B) > 0.\square$$

7.1 $L^{(1,\infty)}-$norm for strong symplectic isotopies

For any strong symplectic isotopy $\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$, we define its interpolation length by

$$l^{(1,\infty)}(\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}) = \frac{l_0(\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}) + l_0(\gamma^{-1}_{(U,\mathcal{H})})}{2},$$

with $l_0(\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}) = \int_0^1 (osc(U_t) + |\mathcal{H}_t|)dt$. Therefore, for any $h \in SSympeo(M,\omega)$, we define the $L^{(1,\infty)}$ Hofer-like norm of h by

$$\|h\|^{(1,\infty)}_{SHL} =: \inf\{l^{(1,\infty)}(\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})})\}, \tag{7.1}$$

where the infimum is taken over all strong symplectic isotopies $\gamma_{(U,\mathcal{H})}$ with $\gamma^1_{(U,\mathcal{H})} = h$.

Conjecture A

Let (M,ω) be a closed connected symplectic manifold. For any $h \in SSympeo(M,\omega)$, we have,

$$\|h\|_{SHL} = \|h\|^{(1,\infty)}_{SHL},$$

holds true.

Conjecture A is supported by the uniqueness result of Hofer-like geometry from [35].

Conjecture B

Let (M,ω) be a closed connected symplectic manifold. Let $h \in SSympeo(M,\omega)$. The norm $\phi \mapsto \|h \circ \phi \circ h^{-1}\|_{SHL}$ is equivalent to the norm $\phi \mapsto \|\phi\|_{SHL}$.

Conjecture B is supported by a result from [7] (Theorem 7).

8 Examples

In the following section, we provide some examples of the above constructions.

Example 6.1

We know that any smooth symplectic isotopy is a trivial strong symplectic isotopy.

Example 6.2

According to Theorem 4.8, any strong symplectic isotopy which is a $1-$parameter group admits a time independent generator. A harmonic 1-parameter group is an isotopy $\beta = \{\beta_t\}$ generated by the vector field X defined by $\iota(X)\omega = \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is a harmonic 1-form. For instance, let ϕ be a Hamiltonian homeomorphism. Then, by definition of ϕ, there exists a sequence of Hamiltonian isotopies Φ_j which is Cauchy in $(C^0 + L^\infty)$ such that $\phi_j := \Phi_j(1) \to \phi$ uniformly. The sequence of symplectic isotopies $\Psi_j : t \mapsto \phi_j^{-1} \circ \beta_t \circ \phi_j$, connects the identity

to $\phi_j^{-1} \circ \beta_1 \circ \phi_j$. The latter sequence of symplectic isotopies is generated by $(\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(\dot{\Phi}_j(s)) \circ \Phi_j(s) ds, \mathcal{K})$. In fact, the Hofer's norm of the smooth function

$$x \mapsto \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(\dot{\Phi}_j(s)) \circ \Phi_j(s) ds(x),$$

does not depend on the choice of the isotopy that connects $\phi_j := \Phi_j(1)$ to the identity, since for each j, we always have,

$$\phi_j^*(\mathcal{K}) - \mathcal{K} = d(\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(\dot{\alpha}_j(s)) \circ \alpha_j(s) ds),$$

for all isotopy α_j that connects $\phi_j := \Phi_j(1)$ to the identity (see [8], Definition 2.2 for more details). As any reader can see, the sequence of generators $(\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(\dot{\Phi}_j(s)) \circ \Phi_j(s) ds, \mathcal{K})$ is Cauchy in D^2 if and only if the sequence of functions $x \mapsto (\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(\dot{\Phi}_j(s)) \circ \Phi_j(s) ds)(x)$ is Cauchy in the L^∞ Hofer norm. But, Lemma 3.9 from [35] shows that the sequence of functions

$$x \mapsto (\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}(\dot{\Phi}_j(s)) \circ \Phi_j(s) ds)(x),$$

is Cauchy in the L^∞ Hofer norm provided the sequence Φ_j is Cauchy in the C^0 metric. Thus, the latter converges in the complete metric space $\mathcal{N}^0([0,1] \times M\ , \mathbb{R})$ to a time-independent continuous function denoted by F_0. On the other hand, the isotopy

$$\Phi : t \mapsto \phi \circ \beta_t \circ \phi^{-1},$$

is a ssympeotopy which is a 1-parameter subgroup. Furthermore, it follows from the above statements that the ssympeotopy $\Phi : t \mapsto \phi \circ \beta_t \circ \phi^{-1}$ is generated by $(F_0, \mathcal{K})$. Finally, we have constructed separately an example of ssympeotopy which is a 1-parameter subgroup and whose generator is time independent. The strong flux of the ssympeotopy $\Phi : t \mapsto \phi \circ \beta_t \circ \phi^{-1}$ is given by the de Rham cohomology class of the harmonic 1-form $\mathcal{K}$, i.e. $\widetilde{Cal}_0(\Phi) = [\mathcal{K}]$. The mass flow ssympeotopy $\Phi : t \mapsto \phi \circ \beta_t \circ \phi^{-1}$ is given by,

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\Phi)(h) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_M \mathcal{K} \wedge \omega^{n-1} \wedge h^* \sigma,$$

for any continuous function $h : M \to \mathbb{S}^1$. The Hofer-like length of the ssympeotopy $\Phi : t \mapsto \phi \circ \beta_t \circ \phi^{-1}$ is given by $l_\infty(\Phi) = osc(F_0) + |\mathcal{K}| = l_0(\Phi)$.

Example 6.3

Consider the torus $\mathbb{T}^{2n}$ with coordinates $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{2n})$ and equip it with the flat Riemannian metric $g_0 = \Sigma_{i=1}^{2n} d\theta_i^2$. Then all the 1-forms $d\theta_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, 2n$ are harmonics. Take the 1-forms $d\theta_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 2n$ as basis for the space of harmonic 1-forms and consider the symplectic form $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n d\theta_i \wedge d\theta_{i+n}$.

- Step (1). Given $v = (a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, the translation $x \mapsto x + v$, on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ induces a rotation R_v on $\mathbb{T}^{2n}$, which is a symplectic diffeomorphism. Therefore, the smooth mapping $\{R_v^t\} : t \mapsto R_{tv}$ defines a

harmonic isotopy generated by $(0,\mathcal{H})$ with $\mathcal{H}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_i d\theta_{i+n}-b_i d\theta_i\right)$. For each integer $j>0$, consider the function

$$f_j:[0,1]\to[0,1], t\mapsto \frac{j}{1+j}t$$

and denote by $\{R_v^{f_j}\}$ the smooth path $t\mapsto R_{v_j(t)}$ where $v_j(t)=f_j(t)v$. Since the sequence (f_j) converges uniformly to the identity mapping, we derive that $\{R_v^{f_j}\}\xrightarrow{d}\{R_v^t\}$. For each j, we have

$$\iota(\dot{R}_{v,j}^t)\omega=\frac{j}{1+j}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_i d\theta_{i+n}-b_i d\theta_i\right).$$

Thus,

$$l_\infty(\{R_{v,j}^t\})=\frac{j}{1+j}|(-b_1,\ldots,-b_n,a_1,\ldots,a_n)|=l_0(\{R_{v,j}^t\}),$$

where $|(-b_1,\ldots,-b_n,a_1,\ldots,a_n)|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}|a_i|+\sum_{i=1}^{n}|b_i|=|v|$.

- Step (2). According to Müller [26] the closed symplectic manifold $(\mathbb{T}^{2n},\omega)$ admits at least a Hamiltonian homeomorphism which is not differentiable. Let us denote by ϕ such Hamiltonian homeomorphism. Then, by definition of ϕ, there exists a sequence of Hamiltonian isotopies $\Phi_j=\phi_{(U^j,0)}$ which is Cauchy in (C^0+L^∞) such that $\phi_j:=\Phi_j(1)\to\phi$ uniformly. Set,

$$\eta_j^t=\frac{j}{1+j}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_i d\theta_{i+n}-b_i d\theta_i\right),$$

and

$$\mu^t(\eta_j,\Phi_j)=\int_0^1\eta_j^t(\dot{\Phi}_j(s))\circ\Phi_j(s)ds,$$

for all j, and for all t. For each j, the symplectic isotopy

$$\Psi_j:t\mapsto\phi_j^{-1}\circ R_{v,j}^t\circ\phi_j,$$

connects the identity to $\phi_j^{-1}\circ R_{v,j}^1\circ\phi_j$. The latter isotopy is generated by $(\mu(\eta_j,\Phi_j),\eta_j)$.

- Step (3). By calculation we get,

$$osc(\mu^t(\eta_j,\Phi_j)-\mu^t(\eta_{j+1},\Phi_{j+1}))\leq osc(\mu^t(\eta_j,\Phi_j)-\mu^t(\eta_j,\Phi_{j+1}))$$

$$+osc(\mu^t(\eta_j,\Phi_{j+1})-\mu^t(\eta_{j+1},\Phi_{j+1})),$$

for all t, and for all j. Thus, Lemma 3.9 found in [35] implies that,

$$\max_t osc(\mu^t(\eta_j,\Phi_j)-\mu^t(\eta_j,\Phi_{j+1}))\to 0, j\to\infty,$$

while Lemma 3.4 found in [8] implies that

$$\max_t osc(\mu^t(\eta_j,\Phi_{j+1})-\mu^t(\eta_{j+1},\Phi_{j+1}))\to 0, j\to\infty.$$

So, the sequence $\mu(\eta_j, \Phi_j)$ is Cauchy in the L^∞ Hofer norm, and then converges in the complete metric space $\mathcal{N}^0([0,1]\times\mathbb{T}^{2n},\mathbb{R})$ to a continuous family of continuous functions denoted by F_1. Thus,

$$(\Psi_j, (\mu(\eta_j,\Phi_j),\eta_j)) \xrightarrow{L^\infty+C^0} (\phi^{-1}\circ R_v\circ\phi, (F_1, \sum_{i=1}^{n}(a_i d\theta_{i+n} - b_i d\theta_i)).$$

Note that the path $(t,x)\mapsto(\phi^{-1}\circ R_v^t\circ\phi)(x)$, is continuous, and not differentiable.

- Step (4). The paths $\Psi:(t,x)\mapsto(\phi^{-1}\circ R_v^t\circ\phi)(x)$ just constructed above yields a non-trivial ssympeotopy which is not differentiable. The strong flux of the ssympeotopy Ψ is given by the de Rham cohomology class of the harmonic 1-form $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(a_i d\theta_{i+n} - b_i d\theta_i)$, i.e.

$$\widetilde{Cal_0}(\Psi) = [\sum_{i=1}^{n}(a_i d\theta_{i+n} - b_i d\theta_i)].$$

The mass flow ssympeotopy Ψ is given by,

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}(\Psi)(h) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int_{\mathbb{T}^{2n}}(a_i d\theta_{i+n} - b_i d\theta_i)\wedge\omega^{n-1}\wedge h^*\sigma,$$

for any continuous function $h:\mathbb{T}^{2n}\to\mathbb{S}^1$.
The Hofer-like length of the ssympeotopy Ψ is given by

$$l_\infty(\Psi) = \max_t osc(F_1^t) + |v|.$$

Example 6.4

Similarly as in Example 6.3, consider the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ as the square:

$$\{(p,q)\mid 0\le p\le 1,\ 0\le q\le 1\}\subset\mathbb{R}^2$$

with opposite sides identified. Let $\mathbb{D}^2\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ be the 2−disk of radius $\tau\in]0,1/8[$ centered at $A=(a,0)$ with $7/8\le a<1$, and let $\Lambda^2(\tau)$ be the corresponding subset in $\mathbb{T}^2$. For any $\nu<1/4$, consider

$$B(\nu) = \{(x,y)\mid 0\le x<\nu\}\subset\mathbb{R}^2,$$

and let $C(\nu)$ be the corresponding subset in $\mathbb{T}^2$. Let ϕ be a Hamiltonian homeomorphism of $\mathbb{T}^2$ supported in $\Lambda^2(\tau)$. If $v=(a_1,0)$ with $\nu\le a_1\le 1/2$, then the map $\phi^{-1}\circ R_v\circ\phi$ displaces completely the set $C(\nu)$, where R_v is the rotation induced by the translation $(p,q)\mapsto(p+a_1,q)$. It follows from Example 6.3 that,

$$0<e_S(C(\nu))\le e_S^0(C(\nu))\le\|\phi^{-1}\circ R_v\circ\phi\|_{SHL}$$

$$\le\frac{\max_t osc(F_0^t)+\max_t osc(G_0^t)}{2}+\frac{1}{2}<\infty,$$

where F_0 and G_0 are two continuous families of continuous functions. Thus, the strong symplectic displacement energy $e_S^0(C(\nu))$ of the set $C(\nu)$ is finite and positive.

Acknowledgments:

I thank the Professors Barry Green and Jeff Sanders for awarding to me a visiting researcher fellowships at AIMS South Africa. This stay was helpful in the finalization of this note.

I thank Peter Spaeth and Stefan Müller for helpful hints.

I would also thank the following Professors for encouragements : Idris Assani, Diallo Assimiou, Cyriaque Atindogbe, David Békollè, Nöel Lohoue, Ferdinand Ngakeu, Boyom Nguiffo, Boniface Nkemzi, Carlos Ogouyandjou, Eugene OKassa, Anatole Temgoua, Leonard Todihounde, Jöel Tossa, Aissa Wade, François Wamon.

References

[1] R. Abraham and J. Robbin, : *Transversal mappings and flow,* W. A. Benjamin. Inc., New York-Amsterdam, **39**(1967).

[2] A. Banyaga, : *Sur la structure de difféomorphismes qui préservent une forme symplectique,* comment. Math. Helv. **53** (1978) pp $174-2227$.

[3] A. Banyaga, :*The Structure of classical diffeomorphisms groups,* Mathematics and its applications vol 400. Kluwer Academic Publisher's Group, Dordrescht, The Netherlands (1997).

[4] A. Banyaga, : *A Hofer-like metric on the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms,* Contempt. Math. Amer. Math. Soc. RI. Vol 512 (2010) pp $1-24$.

[5] A. Banyaga, : *An enlargement of the group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms ,* (Preprint) (2014)

[6] A. Banyaga, : *On the group of strong symplectic homeomorphisms,* C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **346** (2008), no. $15-16$, 867–872.

[7] A. Banyaga, E. Hurtubise, and P. Spaeth :*On the symplectic displacement energy,* preprint (2013).

[8] A. Banyaga and S. Tchuiaga, :*The group of strong symplectic homeomorphisms in L^∞-metric,* Adv. Geom. **14** (2014), no. 3, 523–539.

[9] A. Banyaga and S. Tchuiaga : *Uniqueness of generators of ssympeotopies,* preprint (2013).

[10] L. Buhovsky, *Variation on Eliashberg-Gromov theorem I, C^0- Symplectic Topology and Dynamical Systems,* (2014), IBS Center for Geometry and Physics, Korea

[11] L. Buhovsky and S. Seyfaddini *Uniqueness of generating Hamiltonian for continuous Hamiltonian flows,* Math. Z (2012) 272: 1001 - 1022.

[12] G. Bus and R. Leclercq : *Pseudo-distance on symplectomorphisms groups and application to the flux theory,* Math. Z (2012) 272: 1001 - 1022.

[13] E. Calabi, : *On the group of automorphisms of a symplectic manifold,* Problem in analysis (Lectures at the Sympos. in honor of Salomon Bochner), Princeton, N.J., (1969), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., (1970) $1 - 26$.

[14] E. H. Connell, *Approximating stable homeomorphisms by piecewise linear ones,* Ann. of Math. 78 (1963), no. 2, 326–338.

[15] E. H. Connell, *Stable homeomorphisms can be approximated by piecewise linear ones,* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1963), 87–90.

[16] Y. Eliashberg, : *A theorem on the structure of wave fronts and its application in symplectic topology,* Funct. Anal. and Its Applications(1987)21 $227 - 232$.

[17] A. Fathi, : *Structure of the group of homeomorphisms preserving a good measure on a compact manifold,* Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup., **13**(1980) $45 - 93$.

[18] M. Gromov, : *Pseudoholomorphic cuves in symplectic manifold,* Inent. Math. **82**(1985) $307 - 347$.

[19] M. Hirsch, : *Differential Topology,* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, no. 33, Springer Verlag, New York–Heidelberg. **3** (1976) corrected reprint (1994).

[20] H. Hofer, : *On the topological properties of symplectic maps,* Proc. Royal Soc. Edimburgh **115A**(1990), pp $25 - 38$.

[21] H. Hofer and E. Zehnder, : *Symplectic invariants and hamiltonian dynamicss,*

[22] T. Husain, : *Introduction to Topological Groups,* Sauders Mathema- tics Books, (1966).

[23] T. Husain, : *Topology and Maps,* Plenum Press, (1977).

[24] F. Lalonde, D. McDuff, :*The geometry of symplectic energy,* Ann. of Math. **141**(1995), 711-727.

[25] D. MacDuff and D. Salamon : *Introduction to Symplectic Topology.* second ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, New York, (1998).

[26] S. Müller, : *The group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms in the L^∞-norm,* T. Korean Math. Soc. **45**(2008), 1769-1784.

[27] S. Müller, : *Approximation of volume-preserving homeomorphisms by volume-preserving diffeomorphisms* Preprint (2010).

[28] S. Müller and P. Spaeth, : *Topological contact dynamics II,* Arxiv, 21 May 2012 $(1203 - 4655)$.

[29] J. R. Munkres, *Obstructions to the Smoothing of piecewise-differentiable Homeomorphisms,* Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 65 (1959), 332–334.

[30] Y-G. Oh : *The group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms and continuous Hamiltonian flows,* submitted to the proceedings of the 2007 AMS-IMS-SIAM Summer Research Conference, Snowbird, Utah (2007).

[31] Y-G. Oh and S. Müller, : *The group of Hamiltonian homeomorphisms and C^0-symplectic topology,* J. Symp. Geometry **5**(2007) $167 - 225$.

[32] K. Ono, : *Floer-Novikov cohomology and the flux conjecture,* Geom. Funct. Anal. **16**(2006) no 5 $981 - 1020$

[33] L. Polterovich, : *The Geometry of the Group of Symplectic Diffeomorphism,* Lecture in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston (2001).

[34] S. Tchuiaga, : *Some Structures of the Group of Strong Symplectic Homeomorphisms,* Global Journal of Advanced Research on Classical and Modern Geometry. Vol.2, Issue 1, pp.

[35] S. Tchuiaga, : *On symplectic dynamics,* To appear.

[36] C. Viterbo, : *Symplectic topology as the geometry of generating functions,* Math.Annalen **292**(1992) $687 - 710$.

[37] C, Viterbo, : *On the uniqueness of generating Hamiltonian for continuous limits of Hamiltonian flows,* Internat. Math. Res. Notices, vol (2006), Article ID 34028, 9 pages. Erratum, ibid Vol 2006 article ID 38784, 4 pages.

[38] F. Warner, : *Foundation of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups,* Scott., Foresman and Co., London, (1971).

[39] A. Weinstein, : *Symplectic manifolds and their lagrangian submanifolds,* Advance in Maths. **6** (1971) $329 - 345$.

$*$ Department of Mathematics
The University of Buea,
South West Region, Cameroon